

Reactivity of Amidinatosilylenes and Amidinatogermynes with [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)]: *cis*- versus *trans*-[PtMe₂L₂] Complexes and Cyclometalation Reactions

Javier A. Cabeza,^{*,a} José M. Fernández-Colinas,^a Pablo García-Álvarez,^{*,a}
Laura González-Álvarez,^a and Enrique Pérez-Carreño^b

^aCentro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA network), Departamento de
Química Orgánica e Inorgánica, Universidad de Oviedo, 33071 Oviedo, Spain

^bDepartamento de Química Física y Analítica, Universidad de Oviedo, 33071 Oviedo, Spain

*E-mail: jac@uniovi.es (J.A.C.) and pga@uniovi.es (P.G.-A.)

ABSTRACT: The reactions of $[\text{PtMe}_2(\eta^4\text{-cod})]$ ($\text{cod} = 1,5\text{-cyclooctadiene}$) with two equivalents of the amidinatotetrylenes $\text{E}(\text{tBu}_2\text{bzam})\text{X}$ ($\text{tBu}_2\text{bzam} = N,N'$ -bis(*tert*butyl)benzamidinate. $\text{E} = \text{Si}$; $\text{X} = \text{Mes}$ (**1**_{Si-Mes}), CH_2SiMe_3 (**1**_{Si-Tmsm}); $\text{E} = \text{Ge}$; $\text{X} = \text{Mes}$ (**1**_{Ge-Mes}), CH_2SiMe_3 (**1**_{Ge-Tmsm})] led to disubstituted derivatives of generic formula $[\text{PtMe}_2\{\text{E}(\text{tBu}_2\text{bzam})\text{X}\}_2]$ (**2**_{E-X}) in which the ligand arrangement is *trans* for the silylenes (*trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes} and *trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm}) but *cis* for the germylenes (*cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm}). DFT calculations indicated that the different stereochemistry observed for complexes **2**_{E-X}, $\text{E} = \text{Si}$ (*trans*) and Ge (*cis*), has a kinetic origin. Both mesityl derivatives *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes} and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} reacted with one equivalent of $[\text{H}(\text{OEt}_2)_2][\text{BARF}]$ ($\text{BARF} = \text{B}\{3,5\text{-(CF}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\}_4$) to give isostructural ionic complexes, $[\text{Pt}\{\text{E}(\text{tBu}_2\text{bzam})\text{C}_6\text{H}_2(\text{CH}_2)\text{Me}_2\}\{\text{E}(\text{tBu}_2\text{bzam})\text{Mes}\}][\text{BARF}]$ ($\text{E} = \text{Si}$ (**3**_{Si-Mes}), Ge (**3**_{Ge-Mes})) in which a cationic 14-electron platinum(II) complex is stabilized by both a cyclometalated tetrylene and a non-cyclometalated tetrylene that has a mesityl methyl group agostically interacting with the platinum atom.


INTRODUCTION

Heavier tetrylenes (HTs), which are heavier carbene analogues, have been incorporated as ligands to transition metal complexes since the 70s.^{1,2} In recent years, new generations of HTs, mostly silylenes and germlyenes that are donor-stabilized by chelating fragments, have been recognized as very strong electron-donating groups³ and as versatile and steering ligands for homogeneous catalysis, boosting the interest in the coordination chemistry of this kind of molecules. Among the plethora of currently known donor-stabilized HTs, amidinato-HTs are playing a predominant role,^{1a,d,f,2} possibly because their electronic and steric characteristics can be easily and extensively tuned, including the synthesis of polydentate variants.

On the other hand, cyclometalated complexes (cyclometalation has been defined as a metal-mediated activation of a ligand C–H bond to form a metalacycle⁴) have been used for decades in organic transformations and catalysis (activation of unreactive C–H bonds, cross-coupling, transfer hydrogenation, dehydrogenation, etc.),⁵ in bioorganometallic chemistry (anticancer agents, enzyme prototypes, etc.)^{5e,f,6} and in materials' science (photophysical devices, such as OLEDs and solar cells, liquid crystals, sensors, etc.).^{5b,e,f,k,7} In fact, cyclometalation processes are extensively represented for all important types of ligands (amines, imines, pyridines, phosphanes, N-heterocyclic carbenes, etc.). However, when considering HTs, few cyclometalated examples have been hitherto reported⁸⁻¹⁰ and most of them involve amidinato-HTs.^{9,10} This is surprising taking into account that HTs have been used as ligands for at least 50 years¹¹ and that the donor-stabilized generations of these ligands are very strong electron donors (the oxidative addition of C–H bonds is easier for electron-rich metal complexes).^{4b,12} In this context, as part of our investigations on amidinato-HTs,^{1d,10,13} we have recently reported that the reactivity of the silylene Si(^tBu₂bzam)Mes (**1**_{Si-Mes}) with different iridium precursors is notably different from that of the isostructural germylene Ge(^tBu₂bzam)Mes (**1**_{Ge-Mes}) (Scheme 1).¹⁰ Thus, while the cyclometalation of **1**_{Si-Mes} (upon C–H bond activation of a mesityl methyl group) was found to be inevitable in its room temperature reactions with [Ir₂(μ-Cl)₂(η⁴-cod)₂] (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) and [Ir₂Cl₂(μ-Cl)₂(η⁵-Cp*)₂] (Cp* = 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) (formation of **A** and **C**, respectively, in Scheme 1),¹⁰ the same reactions using **1**_{Ge-Mes} led to noncyclometalated derivatives (**B** and **D**, respectively, in Scheme 1) and only the thermolysis of **D** at 90 °C allowed the isolation of a cyclometalated reaction product (the germanium analogue of compound **C**).^{10a} The stronger electron-donor capacity of silylenes,

compared to that of analogous germynes,^{3,14} seems to be the key factor responsible for this different reactivity.


Scheme 1. Previously Reported Room Temperature Reactions of $1_{\text{Si-Mes}}$ and $1_{\text{Ge-Mes}}$ with Iridium(I) and Iridium(III) Complexes.



Aiming at further expanding the coordination chemistry of amidinato-HTs susceptible to participate in cyclometalation processes, we have now studied the reactivity of $[\text{PtMe}_2(\eta^4\text{-cod})]$ with two equivalents of $\text{E}(\text{tBu}_2\text{bzam})\text{X}$ ($1_{\text{E-X}}$) ($\text{E} = \text{Si}, \text{Ge}; \text{X} = \text{Mes}, \text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3$). These reactions, which are the first ones to combine HTs with $[\text{PtMe}_2(\eta^4\text{-cod})]$, did not render cyclometalated complexes at room temperature, but just led to square-planar bis(tetrylenes) derivatives of generic formula $[\text{PtMe}_2\{\text{E}(\text{tBu}_2\text{bzam})\text{X}\}_2]$ ($2_{\text{E-X}}$). Remarkably, the stereochemistry of these reaction products has been found to be dictated not by the volume of the tetrylene ligands but by the nature of the tetrel atom, being *trans* for the silylenes ($\text{E} = \text{Si}; 2_{\text{Si-X}}$) but *cis* for the germynes ($\text{E} = \text{Ge}; 2_{\text{Ge-X}}$). This surprising tetrel atom-dependence of the reaction stereoselectivity has been rationalized with the help of DFT calculations. Additionally, very interesting agostically-stabilized cyclometalated 14-electron platinum(II) cationic complexes have been prepared from reactions of the mesityl complexes *trans*- $2_{\text{Si-Mes}}$ and *cis*- $2_{\text{Ge-Mes}}$ with $[\text{H}(\text{OEt}_2)_2][\text{BARF}]$ ($\text{BARF} = \text{B}\{3,5\text{-(CF}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\}_4$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The amidinatotetrylenes **1**_{Si-Mes} and **1**_{Ge-Mes} (two equivalents) readily displaced the cod ligand of [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] at room temperature to give *trans*-[PtMe₂{Si(^tBu₂bzam)Mes}₂] (*trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes}) and *cis*-[PtMe₂{Ge(^tBu₂bzam)Mes}₂] (*cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes}), respectively (Scheme 2), as major reaction products (¹H NMR analysis of reaction solutions; Figure S17 of the Supporting Information), which were isolated as pure solids in good yields (79% and 62%, respectively).



The X-ray diffraction (XRD) molecular structures of *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes} (Figure 1) and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} (Figure 2) confirmed the square-planar coordination of the platinum atom and the presence of two methyl and two benzamidinato-HT ligands in each compound, but, while the ligand arrangement is *trans* in the silylene complex (Si1–Pt1–Si2 177.6(1)°), the germylene derivative features a *cis* stereochemistry (Ge1–Pt1–Ge2 106.82(4)°). Whilst the silylene ligands of *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes} present an approximate *syn* conformation around the Pt atom, the germylens of *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} minimize their steric interactions being disposed in an approximate *anti* conformation. The Pt–Si (av. 2.317(5) Å; *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes}) and Pt–Ge (av. 2.399(6) Å; *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes}) both lengths are over the top limit of the range of Pt–Si distances (2.208(2)–2.302(2) Å) and among the longest Pt–Ge distances (2.304(1)–2.409(1) Å) known for the few previously reported XRD-characterized platinum complexes equipped with terminal silylenes¹⁵ and germylens,¹⁶ reflecting the large steric bulk of the **1**_{E-Mes} ligands. The large size of the germylene ligands of *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} also accounts for the small C_{Me}–Pt–C_{Me} bond angle of *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} (av.¹⁷ 84.5(2)°). The Pt–C_{Me} bond distances in *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes} (2.17(1) and 2.25(1) Å) and

are in the range known for $[\text{PtMe}_2\text{L}_2]$ (L = any ligand) complexes.¹⁸ The methyl groups of *cis*- 2Ge-Mes were found disordered in two positions,¹⁷ preventing any bonding comparison.




Figure 1. Molecular structure of *trans*- 2Si-Mes (30% displacement ellipsoids, H atoms have been omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pt1–C49 2.166(9), Pt1–C50 2.25(1), Pt1–Si1 2.321(2), Pt1–Si2 2.313(2), Si1–C16 1.918(8), Si1–N1 1.844(7), Si1–N2 1.864(6), N1–C4 1.487(9), N1–C5 1.34(1), N2–C5 1.34(1), N2–C12 1.489(9), C5–C6 1.48(1), Si2–C40 1.930(8), Si2–N3 1.859(7), Si2–N4 1.843(6), N3–C28 1.48(1), N4–C36 1.50(1), N3–C29 1.34(1), N4–C29 1.493(6), C29–C30 1.50(1); C49–Pt1–C50 177.1(5), Si1–Pt1–Si2 177.6(1), C49–Pt1–Si1 90.6(3), C49–Pt1–Si2 88.4(3), C50–Pt1–Si1 90.1(2), C50–Pt1–Si2 91.0(2), Pt1–Si1–N1 110.1(2), Pt1–Si1–N2 123.7(2), C16–Si1–Pt1 123.8(3), C16–Si1–N1 111.3(3), C16–Si1–N2 105.2(3), N1–Si1–N2 70.1(3), N1–C5–N2 105.6(6), Pt1–Si2–N3 110.5(2), Pt1–Si2–N4 122.8(2), C40–Si2–Pt1 125.6(3), C40–Si2–N3 110.7(4), C40–Si2–N4 103.7(3), N3–Si2–N4 70.2(3), N3–C29–N4 106.0(6).




Figure 2. Molecular structure of *cis*- 2Ge-Mes (30% displacement ellipsoids, H atoms have been omitted for clarity). Only one of the two positions in which the C28, C49 and C50 carbon atoms were found is shown. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°), excluding those involving the disordered parts of the molecule: Pt1–Ge1 2.395(1), Pt1–Ge2 2.403(1), Ge1–C16 2.03(1), Ge1–N1 2.033(9), Ge1–N2 1.98(1), N1–C4 1.47(1), N1–C5 1.33(1), N2–C5 1.35(1), N2–C12 1.47(1), C5–C6 1.50(2), Ge2–C40 2.04(1), Ge2–N3 2.023(9), Ge2–N4 1.998(8), N3–C28 1.52(1), N4–C36 1.48(1), N3–C29 1.34(1), N4–C29 1.34(1), C29–C30 1.48(1); Ge1–Pt1–Ge2 106.82(4), Pt1–Ge1–N1 119.1(2), Pt1–Ge1–N2 115.6(3), C16–Ge1–Pt1 129.6(3), C16–Ge1–N1 99.3(4), C16–Ge1–N2 108.9(4), N1–Ge1–N2 66.1(3), N1–C5–N2 110(1), Pt1–Ge2–N3 116.4(2), Pt1–Ge2–N4 129.9(3), C40–Ge2–Pt1 121.4(3), C40–Ge2–N3 107.7(4), C40–Ge2–N4 102.3(4), N3–Ge2–N4 65.1(4), N3–C29–N4 107.9(9).

Previous reports have shown that the size of the tetrel atom can have a decisive impact on the steric properties of isostructural HTs (the smaller the tetrel atom the greater the steric bulk of the HTs ligand).^{13d,19} Therefore, we reasoned that the more sterically alleviated *trans* configuration of *trans-2*_{Si-Mes}, in comparison to the more congested *cis* disposition of *cis-2*_{Ge-Mes}, might be related to the greater steric hindrance exerted by the silylene **1**_{Si-Mes}. In fact, such a relationship has been described for the reactions of the first generation Grubbs' catalyst *trans*-[RuCl₂(CHPh)(PCy₃)₂] with the amidinatogermynes Ge(^tBu₂bzam)X (X = ^tBu, CH₂SiMe₃), which led to disubstituted derivatives differing in the arrangement of their HTs, being *trans* for X = ^tBu but *cis* for the smaller X group CH₂SiMe₃. Having these precedents in mind and aiming at gathering more information on the factors controlling the observed reaction stereoselectivity, [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] was also treated at room temperature with two equivalents of the less sterically demanding tetrylenes **1**_{Si-Tmsm} and **2**_{Ge-Tmsm}, featuring a CH₂SiMe₃ moiety instead of a Mes fragment attached to the tetrel atom. Remarkably, these smaller tetrylenes afforded *trans*-[PtMe₂{Si(^tBu₂bzam)CH₂SiMe₃}₂] (*trans-2*_{Si-Tmsm}) and *cis*-[PtMe₂{Ge(^tBu₂bzam)CH₂SiMe₃}₂] (*cis-2*_{Ge-Tmsm}) as major products (¹H NMR analysis; Figure S18 of the Supporting Information) of the corresponding reactions (Scheme 2). The high solubility of *trans-2*_{Si-Tmsm} and *cis-2*_{Ge-Tmsm} was responsible for their isolation in moderate yields as pure products (61% and 40%, respectively).

The stereochemistry of *trans-2*_{Si-Tmsm} and *cis-2*_{Ge-Tmsm} was unambiguously established by XRD (Figures 3 and 4). The germylene derivative *cis-2*_{Ge-Tmsm} is structurally analogous to *cis-2*_{Ge-Mes}, but *trans-2*_{Si-Tmsm}, while maintaining the same *trans* ligand arrangement as that of *trans-2*_{Si-Mes}, it displays a perfect *anti* conformation of the silylene ligands, resulting in a centrosymmetric structure. The Pt–Si and Pt–Ge bonds of *trans-2*_{Si-Tmsm} (2.297(1) Å) and *cis-2*_{Ge-Tmsm} (av. 2.368(5) Å) are *ca.* 0.02 Å and 0.03 Å shorter than those found for *trans-2*_{Si-Mes} and *cis-2*_{Ge-Mes}, respectively, reflecting the smaller size of **1**_{E-Tmsm}. The Pt–C_{Me} bond distances are nearly identical in both complexes (2.131(5) Å in *trans-2*_{Si-Tmsm} and av. 2.12(1) Å in *cis-2*_{Ge-Tmsm}).




Figure 3. Molecular structure of *trans*-**2**-Si-Tmsm (30% displacement ellipsoids, H atoms have been omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pt1–C21 2.131(5), Pt1–Si1 2.297(1), Si1–C16 1.890(5), Si1–N1 1.863(4), Si1–N2 1.861(4), N1–C4 1.483(6), N1–C5 1.324(7), N2–C5 1.337(6), N2–C12 1.473(6), C5–C6 1.493(7); C21–Pt1–C21* 180.0(0), Si1–Pt1–Si1* 180.0(0), C21–Pt1–Si1 90.4(1), C21–Pt1–Si1* 89.6(1), Pt1–Si1–N1 122.5(1), Pt1–Si1–N2 113.6(1), C16–Si1–Pt1 127.2(2), C16–Si1–N1 105.4(2), C16–Si1–N2 102.1(2), N1–Si1–N2 70.0(2), N1–C5–N2 106.7(4).




Figure 4. Molecular structure of *cis*-**2**-Ge-Tmsm (25% displacement ellipsoids, H atoms have been omitted for clarity). Only one of the two analogous independent molecules of the asymmetric unit is shown. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pt1–C39 2.13(1), Pt1–C40 2.11(1), Pt1–Ge1 2.371(1), Pt1–Ge2 2.364(1), Ge1–C16 1.98(1), Ge1–N1 2.00(1), Ge1–N2 1.98(1), N1–C4 1.46(1), N1–C5 1.33(2), N2–C5 1.31(2), N2–C12 1.49(1), C5–C6 1.52(2), Ge2–C35 1.99(1), Ge2–N3 2.02(1), Ge2–N4 1.995(9), N3–C23 1.46(1), N4–C31 1.48(2), N3–C24 1.34(12), N4–C24 1.34(2), C24–C25 1.47(2); C39–Pt1–C40 82.8(6), Ge1–Pt1–Ge2 99.08(4), C39–Pt1–Ge1 173.0(4), C39–Pt1–Ge2 87.0(4), C40–Pt1–Ge1 91.1(4), C40–Pt1–Ge2 169.8(4), Pt1–Ge1–N1 117.6(3), Pt1–Ge1–N2 118.1(3), C16–Ge1–Pt1 127.8(4), C16–Ge1–N1 102.2(4), C16–Ge1–N2 108.0(5), N1–Ge1–N2 66.0(4), N1–C5–N2 110(1), Pt1–Ge2–N3 130.0(3), Pt1–Ge2–N4 118.1(3), C35–Ge2–Pt1 124.6(4), C35–Ge2–N3 100.8(5), C35–Ge2–N4 100.8(5), N3–Ge2–N4 65.2(4), N3–C24–N4 108(1).

Regarding the solution structures, the NMR spectra of *trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm} and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-X} indicated equivalence of the two metal-bound methyl groups and also of both amidinato-HTs (one signal for the four *n*-Bu groups, one set of signals for the two CH₂SiMe₃ groups of *trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm} and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm}, and one set of signals for the two Mes fragments of *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes}). Concerning *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes}, the silylenes are inequivalent, since two singlets are observed in the ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR spectrum (δ 72.4 and 71.7 ppm) and two NCN signals in the ¹³C{¹H} spectrum (δ 166.3 and 165.9 ppm), suggesting restricted rotation of the benzimidinosilylene groups about the Pt–Si bonds. However, this inequivalence is not evident from the signals of the metal bound methyls [δ(¹H) = 1.01 ppm (m, 6 H); δ(¹³C) = –16.9 ppm (s, sat, *J*_{Pt–C} = 217 Hz)] and of the *n*-Bu groups [δ(¹H) = 1.36 ppm (s, 36 H); δ(¹³C) = 54.1 (4 C of *n*-Bu), 31.0 (12 CH₃ of *n*-Bu)].

Therefore, as the silylene complexes are *trans* whereas the germylene derivatives are *cis*, regardless of the steric bulk of their HT ligands, the stereoselectivity of the reactions of [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] with **1**_{E-R} seems to be dictated by the nature of the ligand tetrel atom. It is also worth mentioning that the *trans* stereoselectivity of the silylene complexes *trans*-**2**_{Si-X} is very rare, since it is known that reactions of [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] with two equivalents of phosphanes²⁰ or NHCs²¹ of different steric bulk give exclusively *cis*-isomers upon cod displacement. In fact, the silylene derivatives *trans*-**2**_{Si-X} are, as far as we are aware, the first crystallographically characterized *trans*-[PtMe₂L₂] (L = any ligand) complexes.¹⁸

In order to gain insights into this apparent tetrel-assisted reaction stereoselectivity, the structures of the *trans* and *cis* stereoisomers of [PtMe₂{E(*n*-Bu₂bzam)X}₂] (X = Mes, CH₂SiMe₃; E = Si, Ge) (Figures S9-S16 of the Supporting Information) were optimized by DFT methods (at the wb97xd/cc-pVDZ/SDD(Pt) level of theory).²² Surprisingly, this study indicated that the *trans* configuration is more stable for the complexes with X = Mes (*trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes} and *trans*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} are 4.9 and 1.5 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than *cis*-**2**_{Si-Mes} and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes}, respectively), whereas the *cis* arrangement is more stable for the complexes with X = CH₂SiMe₃ (*cis*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm} and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm} are 2.3 and 3.1 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than *trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm} and *trans*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm}, respectively). As these data are strongly related to the size of the HT ligands (the Mes group is larger than the CH₂SiMe₃ group), they do not rationalize the experimental results. Therefore, the different stereochemistry observed for the complexes with E = Si (*trans*) vs. those with E = Ge (*cis*) must have a kinetic origin. To support this hypothesis, a DFT mechanistic study was carried out.

The progress of the mechanistic calculations of the processes leading to *cis- and trans*-[PtMe₂(**1**_{E-Mes})₂] (E = Si, Ge) resulted unacceptably slow due to the large number of atoms of these systems. Therefore, considering that, given the large steric bulk of the ligands, the transformation of the monotetraylene intermediates *cis*-[PtMe₂(η²-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})] into the *trans*-[PtMe₂(η²-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})] isomers should take place prior to the entrance of the second **1**_{E-Mes} ligand, we decided to reduce our mechanistic study to the formation of the monosilylene and monogermylene intermediates *cis*-[PtMe₂(η²-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})] (E = Si, Ge) from [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] and one equivalent of **1**_{E-Mes} (E = Si, Ge) and their subsequent isomerization to *trans*-[PtMe₂(η²-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})]. In fact, Venkatesan *et al.* reported that the *cis* to *trans* isomerization of *cis*-[Pt(CcPh)₂(dbim)₂] (dbim = *N,N'*-didodecylbenzimidazolin-2-ylidene), which features two bulky NHC ligands, needs a temperature of 200 °C or, alternatively, 75 °C in the presence of catalytic amounts of [Pt(CCR)₂(η⁴-cod)].²³ The energy profiles of both systems (E = Si, Ge) are shown in Figure 5 (it only shows the optimized structures of the stationary points of the silicon system). Initially, both starting reagents form an encounter complex (**ec1**; Si···Pt 4.743 Å, Ge···Pt 4.622 Å) that evolves, *via* transition state **ts1**, in which **1**_{E-Mes} occupies the axial position of a square pyramidal ligand environment, to an intermediate (**i1**; Si–Pt 2.394 Å, Ge–Pt 2.516 Å) that has a methyl and one of the olefinic groups occupying the axial positions of a distorted trigonal bipyramidal ligand arrangement. Subsequently, intermediate **i1** undergoes an almost barrierless decooordination of one olefinic moiety to form the square planar intermediate *cis*-[PtMe₂(η²-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})] (**i2**; Si–Pt 2.339 Å, Ge–Pt 2.421 Å). The formation of **i2** is exergonic for both systems (ΔG_(i2) = –9.5 (E = Si), –3.4 (E = Ge) kcal mol⁻¹) and is kinetically allowed at room temperature (ΔG_(ts1) = 16.9 (E = Si), 15.1 (E = Ge) kcal mol⁻¹). The slightly different relative energies of **i2**_{Si} and **i2**_{Ge}, which only differ in the nature of the tetrel atom, are much more marked in the stationary points involved in their isomerization to *trans*-[PtMe₂(η²-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})] (**i4**), a transformation that occurs in two steps. First, *via* a quasi decooordination-recoordination of the η²-cod ligand (**ts3**), a *trans* isomer (**i3**) is formed, which undergoes an easy conformational reorganization of the η²-cod to finally form **i4** (Si–Pt 2.281 Å, Ge–Pt 2.372 Å). Similar isomerizations of square planar platinum(II) complexes, consisting of ligand quasi dissociation (as in **ts3**) and recoordination leading to a *trans* complex are well known.²³ Interestingly, the *cis* to *trans* conversion has a lower energy barrier and is more exergonic for E = Si than for E = Ge (ΔG_(ts3) = 15.9 (E = Si), 23.4 (E = Ge) kcal mol⁻¹; ΔG_(i4-i2) = –4.5 (E = Si), –0.1 (E = Ge)) and a barrier of 23.4

kcal mol⁻¹ (**ts3**_{Ge}) does not allow a room temperature isomerization (or makes it very slow). Thus, while the complete mechanism has not been elucidated, it seems that the different stereochemistry observed for **1**_{Si-Mes} (*trans*) and **1**_{Ge-Mes} (*cis*) possibly arises from the fact that the *cis* to *trans* isomerization of the monosubstituted intermediate [PtMe₂(η²-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})] is kinetically and thermodynamically more favoured for E = Si than for E = Ge. The final room temperature substitution of the η²-cod ligand by the second equivalent of the corresponding tetrylene **1**_{E-Mes} seems to take place on **i4** in the case of E = Si (leading to *trans*-[PtMe₂(**1**_{Si-Mes})₂]), but on **i2** in the case of E = Ge (leading to *cis*-[PtMe₂(**1**_{Ge-Mes})₂]). A similar rationale can explain the results of the **1**_{E-Tmsm} ligand system.





Figure 5. Relative energy profiles (ΔG , kcal mol⁻¹; wb97xd/cc-pVDZ/SDD(Pt)/benzene level; red trace for E = Si; blue trace for E = Ge) and optimized structures (only those with E = Si are shown) of the stationary points involved in the

formation of *trans*-[PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})] (**i4**) from [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] and **1**_{E-Mes}, via the intermediacy of *cis*-[PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})] (**i2**). The given energies are relative to those of the starting materials ([PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] + **1**_{E-Mes}).

Having *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes} and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} in hand, the plausible¹⁰ cyclometallation of their HT ligands was attempted (upon C–H bond activation of a mesityl methyl group). Initially, solutions of both complexes were heated (monitoring the reaction course by ¹H NMR); however, only mixtures of unidentified species were observed all the way upon heating at 50 °C (*trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes}) or 70 °C (*cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes}) for 48 h. Following a different approach, both complexes were treated at room temperature with one equivalent of [H(OEt₂)₂][BARF]. These reactions led to the isostructural derivatives [Pt{E(^tBu₂bzam)C₆H₂(CH₂)Me₂}{E(^tBu₂bzam)Mes}][BARF] [E = Si (**3**_{Si-Mes}), Ge (**3**_{Ge-Mes})] upon formal release of two equivalents of CH₄, whose cations are cyclometalated 14-electron complexes (Scheme 3). Unfortunately, some [^tBu₂bzamH₂][BARF] (**4**), which could not be efficiently separated from **3**_{Si-Mes} and **3**_{Ge-Mes} (see Figures S5 and S6), was also formed during the reactions.²⁰ The relevant ¹H NMR signals of both **3**_{Si-Mes} and **3**_{Ge-Mes}, which are comparable, were satisfactorily identified. The spectra clearly indicated the presence of two inequivalent HT ligands, one of them being cyclometalated, as evidenced by a platinated methylene signal (δ(¹H) 4.23 ppm (s, sat, *J*_{Pt-H} = 60 Hz, 2 H; **3**_{Si-Mes}), 4.37 ppm (s, sat, *J*_{Pt-H} = 57 Hz, 2 H; **3**_{Ge-Mes}).

Scheme 3. Protonation Reactions of **2_{Si-X} and **2**_{Ge-X}**



Taking into consideration the above results, the same acid was reacted with the CH₂SiMe₃ analogues *trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm} and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm} (the C–H bond activation of a CH₂SiMe₃ methyl group would also render a 5-membered platinacycle). Unfortunately, only mixtures containing **4** and other unidentified species (no platinacycles) were observed in the crude reaction mixtures by ¹H NMR.

Compound **4**, [*t*Bu₂zmaH₂][BARF], inevitably formed in the reactions of *trans*-**2**_{Si-R} and *cis*-**2**_{Ge-R} (R = Mes, Tmsm) with [H(OEt₂)₂][BARF], was isolated from the reaction mixtures and further characterized, also by X-ray crystallography (see Figure S8).²⁰

Definitive proof of the structural identity of **3**_{Si-Mes} and **3**_{Ge-Mes} was obtained from an X-ray diffraction analysis of **3**_{Ge-Mes}. Its cationic part (Figure 6) is a square-planar platinum(II) complex featuring two germylenes in a *trans* disposition and two platinagermacyclopentene rings. The cation is crystallographically centrosymmetric because both germylene ligands are disordered into two positions with equal occupancy. One platinagermacyclopentene ring arises from the cyclometallation of one germylene ligand (Ge1) and the other results from agostic Pt⋯CH₃ interactions involving one mesityl methyl group of the other germylene (Ge1*). Similar structural features, including the Pt–CH₂ and Pt⋯CH₃ distances, have been reported for the cationic platinum(II) bis(phosphane) complexes [Pt{PR₂(C₆H₂(CH₂)Me₂)}{PR₂(Mes)}]⁺ (R = isopropyl,²⁴ cyclohexyl²⁵).




Figure 6. Structure of the cation of compound **3**_{Ge-Mes} (25% displacement ellipsoids, H atoms have been omitted for clarity except for those on carbon atoms labelled as C24 and C24a*). The structure is centrosymmetric. The mesityl groups are disordered in two positions with equal occupancy. Only one of these two positions is shown in order to display a cyclometalated and a non-cyclometalated mesityl groups. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pt1–C24 2.02(1), Pt1⋯C24a* 2.54(2), Pt1–Ge1 2.3723(5), Ge1–C16 1.94(3), Ge1*–C16a* 1.96(3), Ge1–N1 1.933(4), Ge1–N2 1.944(3), N1–C4 1.481(6), N1–C5 1.340(5), N2–C5 1.330(5), N2–C12 1.477(5), C5–C6 1.490(5), C16–C17 1.41(2), C17–C24 1.53(2), C16a*–C17a* 1.40(2), C17a*–C24a* 1.53(2), Ge1–Pt1–Ge1* 180.0, C24–Pt1–Ge1 81.0(5), C24a*–Pt1–Ge1* 77.7(4), Pt1–Ge1–N1 124.7(1), Pt1–Ge1–N2 126.4(1), C16–Ge1–Pt1 105.1(8), C16a*–Ge1–Pt1 109.2(8), C16–Ge1–N1 116.1(7), C16a*–Ge1–N1 112.0(7), C16–Ge1–N2 113.9(9), C16a*–Ge1–N2 111.4(9), N1–Ge1–N2 67.5(1), N1–C5–N2 107.6(3).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

This work, highlighting the great versatility of heavier tetrylenes, demonstrates that a simple modification of the E and/or X variables of isostructural benzamidinatotetrylenes (HTs), E(Bu₂bzam)X (**1**_{E-X}), leads to substantial changes in both reactivity and stereoselectivity.

Firstly, regardless of the steric bulk of the HT ligand (X = Mes or CH₂SiMe₃), the stereoselectivity of the reactions of [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] with **1**_{E-X} seems to be dictated by the nature of the tetrel atom, since *trans* disubstituted products were obtained for the silylenes (**2**_{Si-X}) but *cis* for the germylenes (**2**_{Ge-X}). DFT calculations indicated that the different stereochemistry observed has possibly a kinetic origin, as the calculated relative stability of the *trans* and *cis* stereoisomers of **2**_{E-X} cannot explain the experimental results. Interestingly, DFT calculations have shown that, in these reactions, the *cis* to *trans* isomerization of the monosubstituted *cis*-[PtMe₂(η²-cod)(**1**_{E-Mes})] intermediates are easier for E = Si than for E = Ge, and this kinetic effect seems to dictate the fate of the reactions. The *trans* reaction stereoselectivity reported in this work for the reactions involving the silylene ligands is very rare, since it is known that the reactions of [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] with two equivalents of phosphanes or NHCs of different steric bulk give exclusively *cis*-products upon cod displacement.

Secondly, while the above mentioned reactions, which are the first ones to combine HTs with [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)], did not render cyclometalated complexes, agostically-stabilized cyclometalated 14-electron platinum(II) cationic complexes have been isolated from reactions of the mesityl complexes **2**_{E-Mes} with [H(OEt₂)₂][BARF]. However, no platinacycles were formed in analogous reactions with the CH₂SiMe₃-equipped complexes **2**_{E-Tmsm}.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. Solvents were dried over sodium diphenyl ketyl and were distilled under argon before use. All reactions were carried out under argon in an MBraun glovebox and/or using Schlenk-vacuum line techniques. All reaction products were vacuum-dried for several hours prior to being weighed and analyzed. The metal complex [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)],²⁶ the amidinato-HTs **1**_{Si-Mes},^{10b} **1**_{Ge-Mes},^{13a} **1**_{Si-Tmsm}^{13b} and **1**_{Ge-Tmsm},^{13d} and [H(OEt₂)][BAr_F]²⁷ were prepared following published procedures. All remaining reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers. NMR spectra were run on Bruker NAV-400 and AV-300 instruments; the standards used were the residual protic solvent resonance for ¹H [δ(C₆HD₅) = 7.16 ppm, δ(CHDCl₂) = 5.32 ppm] and the solvent resonance for ¹³C [δ(C₆D₆) = 128.06 ppm, δ(CD₂Cl₂) = 53.84 ppm]. Microanalyses were obtained with a FlashEA112 (Thermo-Finnigan) microanalyzer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained with a Bruker Impact II mass spectrometer operating in the ESI-Q-ToF positive mode. Some of the found and required masses for the correct isotope pattern were more than 5 ppm apart (these results are provided to illustrate the best values obtained to date).

trans-[PtMe₂{Si('Bu₂bzam)Mes}₂]} (trans-2_{Si-Mes}). Toluene (1 mL) was added to a mixture of **1**_{Si-Mes} (76 mg, 0.2 mmol) and [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] (0.1 mmol, 33 mg). The resulting orange solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was washed with hexane (2 x 0.5 mL) to give a white solid. The solid was dissolved in toluene (0.4 mL) and the resulting solution was kept in the freezer (−20 °C) for 2 days. A crop of colourless crystals formed in solution, which was separated and washed with hexane (0.2 mL) to give **trans-2**_{Si-Mes} as a white crystalline solid after vacuum drying (78 mg, 79 %). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₅₀H₇₄N₄PtSi₂ (982.3985 amu): C, 61.13; H, 7.59; N, 5.70; found C, 61.04; H, 7.46; N, 5.55. (+)-ESI HRMS: found (calcd) *m/z* 951.4556 (951.4630) [*M* − 2 Me]⁺. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300.9 MHz, 298 K): δ 7.85–7.70 (m, 2 H, 2 *CH* of Ph and/or Mes), 7.35–7.28 (m, 2 H, 2 *CH* of Ph), 7.02–6.90 (m, 10 H, 10 *CH* of Ph and Mes), 3.76 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 3.59 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 2.90 (s, 6 H, 2 *CH*₃ of Mes), 2.22 (s, 6 H, 2 *CH*₃ of Mes), 1.36 (s, 36 H, 12 *CH*₃ of 'Bu), 0.89 (m, sat, *J*_{Pt-H} = 21 Hz, 2 *CH*₃ of Pt–Me) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 100.6 MHz, 298 K): δ 166.3 (NCN), 165.9 (NCN), 148.0, 143.5, 138.4, 133.8, 132.6, 132.0 (10 *C*_{ipso} of Ph and Mes), 129.8–125.7 (14 *CH* of Ph and Mes), 54.1 (4 *C* of 'Bu), 31.0 (12 *CH*₃ of 'Bu), 26.3 (1 *CH*₃ of Mes), 26.1 (1 *CH*₃ of Mes), 24.8 (2

CH₃ of Mes), 21.2 (2 CH₃ of Mes), -16.9 (s, sat, $J_{\text{Pt-C}} = 217$ Hz, 2 CH₃ of Pt-Me) ppm. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 79.5 MHz, 298 K): δ 72.4 (s, 1 Si), 71.7 (s, 1 Si) ppm.

***trans*-[PtMe₂{Si(^tBu₂bzam)CH₂SiMe₃}₂] (*trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm})**. Toluene (1 mL) was added to a mixture of **1**_{Si-Tmsm} (69 mg, 0.2 mmol) and [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] (0.1 mmol, 33 mg). The resulting orange solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in hexane/toluene (1:1) and the resulting solution was kept in the freezer (-20 °C) for 2 days. A crop of colourless crystals formed in solution, which was separated and washed with hexane (0.2 mL) to give ***trans*-2**_{Si-Tmsm} as a white crystalline solid after vacuum drying (56 mg, 61 %). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₀H₇₄N₄PtSi₄ (918.4625 amu): C, 52.31; H, 8.12; N, 6.10; found C, 52.04; H, 7.96; N, 5.86. (+)-ESI HRMS: found (calcd) m/z 950.4556 (950.4979) [$M + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}$]⁺. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300.1 MHz, 298 K): δ 7.83–7.77(m, 2 H, 2 CH of Ph), 7.23–7.17 (m, 2 H, CH of Ph), 6.95–6.84 (m, 6 H, CH of Ph), 1.32 (s, 36 H, 12 CH₃ of ^tBu), 1.01 (m, 6 H, 2 CH₃ of Pt-Me₂), 0.94 (s, 4H, 2 CH₂SiMe₃), 0.51 (s, 18 H, 2 SiMe₃) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 100.6 MHz, 299 K): δ 166.3 (2 NCN), 133.4–127.8 (10 CH + 2 C_{ipso} of Ph), 53.7 (4 C of ^tBu), 31.3 (12 CH₃ of ^tBu), 7.6 (2 CH₂ of CH₂SiMe₃), 2.7 (6 CH₃ of CH₂SiMe₃), -12.4 (s, sat, $J_{\text{Pt-C}} = 210$ Hz, 2 CH₃ of Pt-Me) ppm.

***cis*-[PtMe₂{Ge(^tBu₂bzam)Mes}₂] (*cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes})**. Toluene (1 mL) was added to a mixture of **1**_{Ge-Mes} (85 mg, 0.2 mmol) and [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] (0.1 mmol, 33 mg). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was washed with hexane (2 x 0.5 mL) to give ***cis*-2**_{Ge-Mes} as a white solid after vacuum drying (66 mg, 62 %). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₅₀H₇₄N₄Ge₂Pt (1071.4475 amu): C, 56.05; H, 6.96; N, 5.23; found C, 56.30; H, 6.55; N, 5.01 (although these results are outside the accepted range of analytical purity, they are provided to illustrate the best values obtained to date). (+)-ESI HRMS: found (calcd) m/z 1058.3700 (1058.3750) [$M - \text{Me}$]⁺. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 300.9 MHz, 298 K): δ 7.70–7.63 (m, 2 H, CH of Ph), 7.36–7.30 (m, 2 H, CH of Ph), 7.05–6.92 (m, 6 H, 6 CH of Ph), 6.94 (2, 4 H, 4 CH of Mes), 3.26 (s, br, 12 H, 4 CH₃ of Mes), 2.20 (s, 6 H, 2 CH₃ of Mes), 1.57 (s, sat, $J_{\text{Pt-H}} = 27$ Hz, 6 H, 2 CH₃ of Pt-Me), 1.13 (s, br, 36 H, 12 CH₃ of ^tBu) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 100.6 MHz, 299 K): δ 167.0 (2 NCN), 144.9, 137.9, 135.9 (10 C_{ipso} of Ph and Mes), 130.1–127.6 (14 CH of Ph and Mes), 54.2 (4 C of ^tBu), 32.0 (12 CH₃ of ^tBu), 25.8 (s, br, 4 CH₃ of Mes), 21.2 (2 CH₃ of Mes), -1.91 (s, sat, $J_{\text{Pt-C}} = 305$ Hz, 2 CH₃ of Pt-Me) ppm.

***cis*-[PtMe₂{Ge(^tBu₂bzam)CH₂SiMe₃}₂] (*cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm})**. Toluene (1 mL) was added to a mixture of **1**_{Ge-Tmsm} (78 mg, 0.2 mmol) and [PtMe₂(η⁴-cod)] (0.1 mmol, 33 mg). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in hexane/toluene (1:1) and the resulting solution was kept in the freezer (–20 °C) for 2 days. A crop of colourless crystals formed in solution, which was separated and washed with hexane (0.2 mL) to give ***cis*-2**_{Ge-Tmsm} as a white crystalline solid after vacuum drying (40 mg, 40 %). Anal. (%) Calcd for C₄₀H₇₄N₄Ge₂PtSi₂ (1007.5115 amu): C, 47.68; H, 7.40; N, 5.56; found C, 47.57; H, 7.18; N, 5.40. (+)-ESI HRMS: found (calcd) *m/z* 994.3299 (994.3289) [*M* – Me]⁺. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 400.1 MHz, 298 K): δ 7.77–7.73 (m, 2 H, *CH* of Ph), 7.37–7.33 m, 2 H, *CH* of Ph), 7.02–6.97 (m, 6 H, *CH* of Ph), 1.51 (s, sat, *J*_{Pt-H} = 36 Hz, 6 H, 2 *CH*₃ of Pt–Me), 1.24 (s, 36 H, 12 *CH*₃ of ^tBu), 1.10 (s, 4H, *CH*₂SiMe₃), 0.50 (s, 18 H, SiMe₃) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 100.6 MHz, 298 K): δ 167.6 (2 NCN), 135.4–127.3 (10 *CH* + 2 *C*_{ipso} of Ph), 53.9 (4 *C* of ^tBu), 31.9 (12 *CH*₃ of ^tBu), 21.4 (2 *CH*₂ of *CH*₂SiMe₃), 2.9 (6 *CH*₃ of *CH*₂SiMe₃), 1.41 (s, sat, *J*_{Pt-C} = 328 Hz, 2 *CH*₃ of Pt–Me) ppm.

[Pt{Si(^tBu₂bzam)C₆H₂(CH₂)Me₂}{Si(^tBu₂bzam)Mes}][BARF] (3**_{Si-Mes})**. A J. Young NMR tube was loaded with *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes} (39 mg, 0.04 mmol), [H(OEt₂)₂][BAR_F] (41 mg, 0.04 mmol) and C₆D₆ (0.3 mL). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in toluene/CH₂Cl₂ (0.2 mL/0.3 mL) and the resulting solution was kept in the freezer (–20 °C) for 5 days. A crop of colourless crystals was separated from the solution. They were washed with toluene (0.2 mL) to give a *ca.* 1:1 mixture of **3**_{Si-Mes} and **4** (10 mg) after vacuum drying (¹H NMR analysis). (+)-ESI HRMS: *m/z* found 950.4427; calcd for C₄₈H₆₇N₄PtSi₂ [*M* – BARF]⁺: 950.4552. ¹H NMR (**3**_{Si-Mes}; CD₂Cl₂, 400.1 MHz, 298 K): δ 7.76–7.44 (m, 22 H, *CH* of BARF), 7.21 (s, 1 H, *CH* of Mes), 7.13 (s, 1 H, *CH* of Mes), 6.97 (s, 1 H, *CH* of Mes), 6.88 (s, 1 H, *CH* of Mes), 4.23 (s, sat, *J*_{Pt-H} = 60 Hz, 2 H, *CH*₂ of Pt–CH₂), 2.67 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 2.56 (s, 6 H, 2 *CH*₃ of Mes), 2.37 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 2.36 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 1.20 (s, 18 H, 6 *CH*₃ of ^tBu), 1.19 (s, 18 H, 6 *CH*₃ of ^tBu) ppm. ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 282.3 MHz, 299 K): δ –62.8 (s) ppm.

[Pt{Ge(^tBu₂bzam)C₆H₂(CH₂)Me₂}{Ge(^tBu₂bzam)Mes}][BARF] (3**_{Ge-Mes})**. A J. Young NMR tube was loaded with *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} (86 mg, 0.08 mmol), [H(OEt₂)₂][BAR_F] (71 mg, 0.07 mmol) and C₆D₆ (0.5 mL). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 min to give a

yellow suspension. The solid was separated by decantation and was washed with 1:1 hexane-toluene (0.5 mL) to give a *ca.* 1:0.1 mixture of **3**_{Ge-Mes} and **4** (37 mg) after vacuum drying (¹H NMR analysis). (+)-ESI HRMS: *m/z* found 1042.3352; calcd for C₄₈H₆₇N₄Ge₂Pt [*M* – BARF]⁺: 1042.3437. ¹H NMR (**3**_{Ge-Mes}; CD₂Cl₂, 400.1 MHz, 298 K): δ 7.78–7.47 (m, 22 H, *CH* of Ph and BARF), 7.21 (s, 1 H, *CH* of Mes), 7.17 (s, 1 H, *CH* of Mes), 7.03 (s, 1 H, *CH* of Mes), 6.95 (s, 1 H, *CH* of Mes), 4.37 (s, sat, *J*_{Pt-H} = 57 Hz, 2 H, *CH*₂ of Pt–CH₂), 2.76 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 2.71 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 2.65 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 2.38 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 2.35 (s, 3 H, *CH*₃ of Mes), 1.16 (s, 18 H, 6 *CH*₃ of *t*Bu), 1.12 (s, 18 H, 6 *CH*₃ of *t*Bu) ppm. ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 282.3 MHz, 299 K): δ –62.8 (s) ppm.

[**T**u₂bzamH₂][BARF] (**4**). A J. Young NMR tube was loaded with *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm} (41 mg, 0.04 mmol), [H(OEt₂)₂][BARF] (41 mg, 0.04 mmol) and C₆D₆ (0.3 mL). The resulting orange solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the remaining residue was dissolved in hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (0.2 mL/0.3 mL). The resulting solution was kept in the freezer at –20 °C for 3 days. A crop of crystals was formed. They were separated by decantation and washed with hexane (0.3 mL) to give **4** as a colourless crystalline solid (10 mg, 23 %). ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 300.1 MHz, 298 K): δ 7.76–7.40 (m, 17 H, *CH* of Ph and BARF), 6.38 (s, 1 H, *NH*), 6.10 (s, 1 H, *NH*), 1.57 (s, 9 H, 3 *CH*₃ of *t*Bu), 1.27 (s, 9 H, 3 *CH*₃ of *t*Bu) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 100.6 MHz, 299 K): δ 165.7 (NCN), 162.2 (q, *J*_{B-C} = 50 Hz, 4 B-*C*_{ipso} of BARF), 135.2 (8 *o*-CH of BARF), 134.2 (CH of Ph), 130.1 (2 CH of Ph), 129.3 (q, *J*_{F-C} = 31 Hz, 8 *m*-*C*_{ipso} of BARF), 128.3 (2 CH of Ph), 125.0 (q, *J*_{F-C} = 276 Hz, 8 CF₃ of BARF), 117.9 (4 *p*-CH of BARF), 59.9 (*C* of *t*Bu), 56.2 (*C* of *t*Bu), 31.2 (3 *CH*₃ of *t*Bu), 29.0 (3 *CH*₃ of *t*Bu) ppm. ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 282.3 MHz, 299 K): δ –62.8 (s) ppm. The *C*_{ipso} signal of the amidinato Ph group was not observed, possibly due to overlapping with other signals.

X-ray Diffraction Analyses. Crystals of *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes}·2(C₇H₈), *trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm}, *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes}, *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm}, **3**_{Ge-Mes} and **4** were analyzed by X-ray diffraction. A selection of crystal, measurement and refinement data is given in Table S1. Diffraction data were collected on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Onyx Nova single crystal diffractometer with CuKα radiation. Empirical absorption corrections were applied using the SCALE3 ABSPACK algorithm as implemented in CrysAlisPro RED.²⁸ The structures were solved with SIR-97.²⁹ Isotropic and full matrix anisotropic least square refinements were carried out using SHELXL.³⁰ All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. H atoms were set in calculated positions and were refined riding on their parent atoms except for those

on the N atoms of **4**, which were located in the corresponding Fourier map and were refined freely. One of the toluene molecules found in the asymmetric unit of *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes}·2(C₇H₈) was disordered over two positions with 53:47 occupancy ratio, requiring restraints on its geometrical and thermal parameters. The platinum bound methyl groups (C49 and C50) and the methyl groups of one of the *tert*-butyl moieties (quaternary carbon labelled as C28) of *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} were disordered over two positions with 51:49 and 58:42, respectively, occupancy ratios, requiring restraints on its geometrical and thermal parameters. The asymmetric unit of **3**_{Ge-Mes} shows only half cation and half anion, as the Pt atom is located on a center of inversion and a C₂ axis passes through the B atom of the BARF moiety. The mesityl fragment found in the asymmetric unit of **3**_{Ge-Mes} is disordered over two positions (50:50 occupancy ratio), requiring restraints on their thermal parameters (one position gives a cyclometalated mesityl through C24 and the other a noncyclometalated mesityl through C24a*). The fluorine atoms of the CF₃ groups of **3**_{Ge-Mes} were disordered over two positions with 53:47 (on C31), 74:26 (on C32), 56:40 (on C40) and 51:49 (on C40) occupancy ratios, requiring restraints on their thermal parameters. The fluorine atoms of the CF₃ moieties of **4** were disordered over two positions with 59:41 (on C22), 71:29 (on C23), 60:40 (on C30), 53:47 (on C31), 51:49 (on C38), 61:39 (on C39), 66:34 (on C46) and 53:47 (on C47) occupancy ratios, requiring restraints on their geometrical parameters. The WINGX program system³¹ was used throughout the structure determinations. The molecular plots were made with MERCURY.³² The molecular plots were made with MERCURY.³² CCDC deposition numbers: 1991462 (*trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes} 2(C₇H₈)), 1991463 (*trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm}), 1991464 (*cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes}), 1991465 (*cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm}), 1991466 (**3**_{Ge-Mes}) and 1991467 (**4**).

Theoretical Calculations. DFT calculations were carried out using the wB97XD functional,³³ which includes the second generation of Grimme's dispersion interaction correction³⁴ as well as long-range interactions effects. The Stuttgart-Dresden relativistic effective core potentials and the associated basis sets (SDD) was used for the Pt³⁵ atoms. The basis set used for the remaining atoms was the cc-pVDZ.³⁶ All stationary points were fully optimized in gas phase and confirmed as energy minima (all positive eigenvalues) or transition states (one negative eigenvalue) by analytical calculation of frequencies. The electronic energies of the optimized structures were used to calculate the zero-point corrected energies and the enthalpic and entropic contributions via vibrational frequency calculations. Solvation free energies were obtained with the self-consistent reaction field (SCRF) for the standard continuum solvation model (CPCM),³⁷ by using the single-point solvation energy of the optimized structures and the thermodynamic correction from the gas phase

calculations. All Gibbs energies were computed at 298.15 K and 1.0 atm. All calculations were carried out with the Gaussian09 package.³⁸

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.organomet.xxxxxxx.

Crystal, acquisition, and refinement XRD data, ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes}, *trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm}, *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes}, *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm}, **3**_{Si-Mes}, **3**_{Ge-Mes} and **4**; images and metric parameters of the DFT-optimized molecules *trans*-**2**_{Si-Mes}, *trans*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm}, *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes}, *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm}, *cis*-**2**_{Si-Mes}, *cis*-**2**_{Si-Tmsm}, *trans*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} and *trans*-**2**_{Ge-Tmsm} (PDF).

X-ray crystallographic data (CIF).

Atomic coordinates for all the DFT-optimized structures (XYZ).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail for J.A.C.: jac@uniovi.es

*E-mail for P.G.-A.: pga@uniovi.es

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by MINECO-FEDER projects CTQ2016-75218-P and CTQ2016-81797-REDC.

REFERENCES

- (1) For reviews on the general transition-metal chemistry of HTs, see: (a) Tacke, R.; Ribbeck, T. Bis(amidinato)- and bis(guanidinato)silylenes and silylenes with one sterically demanding amidinato or guanidinato ligand: synthesis and reactivity. *Dalton Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 13628–13659. (b) Corey, J. Y. Reactions of Hydrosilanes with Transition Metal Complexes. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 11291–11435. (c) Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Polo, D. Intramolecularly Stabilized Heavier Tetrylenes: From Monodentate to Bidentate Ligands. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *2016*,

10–22. (d) Álvarez-Rodríguez, L.; Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Polo, D. Álvarez-Rodríguez, L.; Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Polo, D. The transition-metal chemistry of amidinatosilylenes, -germylenes and -stannylenes. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *300*, 1–28. (e) Baumgartner, J.; Marschner, C. Coordination of non-stabilized germylenes, stannylenes, and plumblylenes to transition metals. *Rev. Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *34*, 119–152. (f) Blom, B.; Stoelzel, M.; Driess, M. New Vistas in N-Heterocyclic Silylene (NHSi) Transition-Metal Coordination Chemistry: Syntheses, Structures and Reactivity towards Activation of Small Molecules. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 40–62. (g) Waterman, R.; Hayes, P. G.; Tilley, T. D. Development and Chemical Reactivity of Transition-Metal Silylene Complexes. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 712–719. (h) Okazaki, M.; Tobita, H.; Ogino, H. Reactivity of silylene complexes. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 493–506. (i) Lappert, M. F.; Rowe, R. S. The role of group 14 element carbene analogues in transition metal chemistry. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1990**, *100*, 267–292. (j) Petz, W. Transition-metal complexes with derivatives of divalent silicon, germanium, tin, and lead as ligands. *Chem. Rev.* **1986**, *86*, 1019–1047. (k) Lappert, M. F.; Power, P. P. Subvalent group 4B metal alkyls and amides. Part 7. Transition-metal chemistry of metal(II) bis(trimethylsilyl)amides $M'(NR_2)_2$ ($R = SiMe_3$; $M' = Ge, Sn, \text{ or } Pb$). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1985**, 51–57.

(2) For reviews on HT transition-metal complexes in catalysis, see: (a) Zhou, Y.-P.; Driess, M. Isolable Silylene Ligands Can Boost Efficiencies and Selectivities in Metal-Mediated Catalysis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 3715–3728. (b) Raoufmoghaddam, S.; Zhou, Y.-P.; Wang, Y.; Driess, M. N-heterocyclic silylenes as powerful steering ligands in catalysis. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2017**, *829*, 2–10. (c) Blom, B.; Gallego, D.; Driess, M. N-heterocyclic silylene complexes in catalysis: new frontiers in an emerging field. *Inorg. Chem. Front.* **2014**, *1*, 134–148.

(3) See, for example: (a) Benedek, Z.; Szilvási, T. Theoretical Assessment of Low-Valent Germanium Compounds as Transition Metal Ligands: Can They Be Better than Phosphines or NHCs? *Organometallics* **2017**, *36*, 1591–1600. (b) Benedek, Z.; Szilvási, T. Can low-valent silicon compounds be better transition metal ligands than phosphines and NHCs? *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 5077–5086.

(4) For reviews on the fundamental aspects of cyclometallation, see: (a) Omae, I. *Cyclometallation Reactions: Five-Membered Ring Products as Universal Reagents*, Springer: New York, 2014. (b) Albrecht, M. *Cyclometallation Using d-Block Transition Metals: Fundamental Aspects and Recent*

Trends. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, 110, 576–623. (c) Omae, I. Intramolecular five-membered ring compounds and their applications. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2004**, 248, 995–1023. (d) Canty, A. J.; van Koten, G. Mechanisms of d⁸ organometallic reactions involving electrophiles and intramolecular assistance by nucleophiles. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1995**, 28, 406–413. (e) Ryabov, A. D. Mechanisms of intramolecular activation of carbon-hydrogen bonds in transition-metal complexes. *Chem. Rev.* **1990**, 90, 403–424.

(5) For selected reviews, see: (a) Wang, C.; Xiao, J. Iridacycles for hydrogenation and dehydrogenation reactions. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, 53, 3399–3411. (b) Kumar, R.; Nevado, C. Cyclometalated Gold(III) Complexes: Synthesis, Reactivity, and Physicochemical Properties. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, 56, 1994–2015. (c) Bruneau, A.; Roche, M.; Alami, M.; Messaoudi, S. 2-Aminobiphenyl Palladacycles: The “Most Powerful” Precatalysts in C–C and C–Heteroatom Cross-Couplings. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, 5, 1386–1396. (d) Alonso, D. A.; Nájera, C. Oxime-derived palladacycles as source of palladium nanoparticles. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, 39, 2891–2902. (e) Djukic, J.-P.; Sortais, J.-B.; Barloy, L.; Pfeffer, M. Cycloruthenated Compounds – Synthesis and Applications. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, 2009, 817–853. (f) *Palladacycles, Synthesis, Characterization and Applications*; Dupont, J.; Pfeffer, M., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2008. (g) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Palladacycles in catalysis – a critical survey. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, 689, 4055–4082. (h) van der Boom, M. E.; Milstein, D. Cyclometalated Phosphine-Based Pincer Complexes: Mechanistic Insight in Catalysis, Coordination, and Bond Activation. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 103, 1759–1792. (i) Bedford, R. B. Palladacyclic catalysts in C–C and C–heteroatom bond-forming reactions. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 1787–1796. (j) Singleton, J. T. The uses of pincer complexes in organic synthesis. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, 59, 1837–1857. (k) Albrecht, M.; van Koten, G. Platinum Group Organometallics Based on “Pincer” Complexes: Sensors, Switches, and Catalysts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2001**, 40, 3750–3781.

(6) For recent reviews, see: (a) Caporale, C.; Massi, M. Cyclometalated iridium(III) complexes for life science. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2018**, 363, 71–91. (b) Omae, I. Applications of five-membered ring products of cyclometalation reactions as anticancer agents. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2014**, 280, 84–95.

(7) For selected reviews, see: (a) Omae, I. Application of five-membered ring products of cyclometalation reactions as sensing materials in sensing devices. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2016**, *823*, 50–75. (b) Omae, I. Application of the five-membered ring blue light-emitting iridium products of cyclometalation reactions as OLEDs. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *310*, 154–169. (c) Strassner, T. Phosphorescent Platinum(II) Complexes with CAC* Cyclometalated NHC Ligands. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2016**, *49*, 2680–2689. (d) Fan, C.; Yang, C. Yellow/orange emissive heavy-metal complexes as phosphors in monochromatic and white organic light-emitting devices. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6439–6469. (e) Bomben, P. G.; Robson, K. C. D.; Koivisto, B. D.; Berlinguette, C. P. Cyclometalated ruthenium chromophores for the dye-sensitized solar cell. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *256*, 1438–1450. (f) Williams, J. A. G. The coordination chemistry of dipyritylbenzene: N-deficient terpyridine or panacea for brightly luminescent metal complexes? *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1783–1801. (g) *Highly Efficient OLEDs with Phosphorescent Materials*; Yersin, H., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008. (h) Bruce, D. W.; Deschenaux, R.; Donnio, B.; Guillon, D. *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry III*; Mingos, D. M. P.; Crabtree, R. H., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2007, vol. 12, p. 217. (i) Albert, J.; Granell, J.; Muller, G. Synthesis and applications of optically active metallacycles derived from primary amines. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2006**, *691*, 2101–2106.

(8) (a) Bakthavachalam, K.; Dutta, S.; Arivazhagan, C.; Raghavendra, B.; Haridas, A.; Sen, S. S.; Koley, D.; Ghosh, S. Cyclometallation of a germylene ligand by concerted metalation–deprotonation of a methyl group. *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 15835–15844. (b) Hawkins, S. M.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Rai, A. K. Iridium(III) Hydrides derived from an Iridium(I) Substrate by Oxidative Addition and Cyclometallation of Germanium(II) Bis(trimethylsilyl)amide; X-Ray Structures of $[\{\text{CH}_2\text{Me}_2\text{SiN}(\text{R})(\text{NR}_2)\text{Ge}\}\text{HIr}(\mu\text{-Cl})_2\{\text{Ge}(\text{NR}_2)\text{N}(\text{R})\text{SiMe}_2\text{CH}_2\}\text{IrH}\{\text{Ge}(\text{NR}_2)_2\}]$ and $[\text{Ir}\{\text{GeCl}(\text{NR}_2)\text{N}(\text{R})\text{SiMe}_2\text{CH}_2\}(\text{CO})_2\text{H}\{\text{Ge}(\text{NR}_2)\}](\text{R} = \text{SiMe}_3)$. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* **1986**, 1689–1690.

(9) (a) Gallego, D.; Brück, A.; Irran, E.; Meier, F.; Kaupp, M.; Driess, M.; Hartwig, J. F. From Bis(silylene) and Bis(germylene) Pincer-Type Nickel(II) Complexes to Isolable Intermediates of the Nickel-Catalyzed Sonogashira Cross-Coupling Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 15617–15626. (b) Brück, A.; Gallego, D.; Wang, W.; Irran, E.; Driess, M.; Hartwig, J. F. Pushing the σ -

Donor Strength in Iridium Pincer Complexes: Bis-(silylene) and Bis(germylene) Ligands Are Stronger Donors than Bis(phosphorus(III)) Ligands. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 11478–11482.

(c) Wang, W.; Inoue, S.; Irran, E.; Driess, M. Synthesis and Unexpected Coordination of a Silicon(II)-Based SiCSi Pincerlike Arene to Palladium. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3691–3694. (d) Li, S.; Wang, Y.; Yang, W.; Li, K.; Sun, H.; Li, X.; Fuhr, O.; Fenske, D. N₂ Silylation Catalyzed by a Bis(silylene)-Based [SiCSi] Pincer Hydrido Iron(II) Dinitrogen Complex. *Organometallics* **2020**, *39*, 757–766.

(10) (a) Cabeza, J. A.; Fernández-Colinas, J. M.; García-Álvarez, P.; González-Álvarez, L. Pérez-Carreño, E. Mesityl(amidinato)tetrylenes as ligands in iridium(I) and iridium(III) complexes: silicon versus germanium and simple κ^1 -coordination versus cyclometallation. *Dalton Trans.* **2019**, *48*, 10996–11003. (b) Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; González-Álvarez, L. Facile cyclometallation of a mesitylsilylene: synthesis and preliminary catalytic activity of iridium(III) and iridium(V) iridasilacyclopentenes. *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 10275–10278.

(11) See, for example: (a) Schmid, G.; Balk, H. J. Silylenes as Ligands in Platin Complexes. *Chem. Ber.* **1970**, *103*, 2240–2244. (b) Marks, T. J. Dialkylgermylene- and -stannylene-Pentacarbonylchromium Complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971**, *93*, 7090–7091.

(12) See, for example: (a) Cabeza, J. A.; Damonte, M.; García-Álvarez, P.; Pérez-Carreño, E. E. Easy abstraction of a hydride anion from an alkyl C–H bond of a coordinated bis(N-heterocyclic carbene). *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 2813–2815. (b) Cabeza, J. A.; del Río, I.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; Sánchez-Vega, M. G.; Vázquez-García, D. A Simple Preparation of Pyridine-Derived N-Heterocyclic Carbenes and Their Transformation into Bridging Ligands by Orthometalation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 555–558. (c) Cabeza, J. A.; da Silva, I.; del Río, I.; Martínez-Méndez, L.; Miguel, D.; Riera, V. Activation of All Bonds of a Methyl Group Attached to an Organic Fragment. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 3464–3467. (d) Rothwell, I. P. Cyclometalation Chemistry of Aryl Oxide Ligation. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1988**, *21*, 153–159.

(13) See, for example: (a) Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Gómez-Gallego, M.; González-Álvarez, L.; Merinero, A. D.; Sierra, M. A. Two Types of σ -Allenyl Complexes from Reactions of Silylenes and Germylenes with Chromium Fischer Alkynyl(alkoxy)carbenes. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 8635–8642. (b) Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Gómez-Gallego, M.; González-Álvarez, L.;

Merinero, A. D.; Sierra, M. A. Unexpected Zwitterionic Allenyls from Silylenes and a Fischer Alkynylcarbene: A Remarkable Silylene-Promoted Rearrangement. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 2222–2225. (c) Álvarez-Rodríguez, L.; Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Gómez-Gallego, M.; González-Álvarez, L.; Merinero, A. D.; Sierra, M. A. Reversible Carbene Insertion into a Ge–N Bond and Insights into CO and Carbene Substitution Reactions Involving Amidinatogermynes and Fischer Carbene Complexes. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 1588–1594. (d) Álvarez-Rodríguez, L.; Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Pérez-Carreño, E. Ruthenium Carbene Complexes Analogous to Grubbs-I Catalysts Featuring Germynes as Ancillary Ligands. *Organometallics* **2018**, *37*, 3399–3406. (e) Álvarez-Rodríguez, L.; Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Gómez-Gallego, M.; Merinero, A. D.; Sierra, M. A. First Insertions of Carbene Ligands into Ge–N and Si–N Bonds. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 4287–4291. (f) Álvarez-Rodríguez, L.; Cabeza, J. A.; Fernández-Colinas, J. M.; García-Álvarez, P.; Polo, D. Amidinatogermylene Metal Complexes as Homogeneous Catalysts in Alcoholic Media. *Organometallics* **2016**, *35*, 2516–2523. (g) Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Gobetto, R.; González-Álvarez, L.; Nervi, C.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; Polo, D. [MnBrL(CO)₄] (L = Amidinatogermylene): Reductive Dimerization, Carbonyl Substitution, and Hydrolysis Reactions. *Organometallics* **2016**, *35*, 1761–1770. (h) Álvarez-Rodríguez, L.; Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Polo, D. Amidinatogermylene Complexes of Copper, Silver, and Gold. *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 5479–5484. (i) Cabeza, J. A.; Fernández-Colinas, J. M.; García-Álvarez, P.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; Polo, D. Reactivity Studies on a Binuclear Ruthenium(0) Complex Equipped with a Bridging κ^2N,Ge -Amidinatogermylene Ligand. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 4850–4861.

(14) See, for example: (a) Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Pérez-Carreño, E.; Polo, D. Ring Opening and Bidentate Coordination of Amidinate Germynes and Silylenes on Carbonyl Dicobalt Complexes: The Importance of a Slight Difference in Ligand Volume. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 8654–8663. (b) Nguyen, T. A. N.; Frenking, G. Transition-Metal Complexes of Tetrylones [(CO)₅W-E(PPh₃)₂] and Tetrylenes [(CO)₅W-NHE] (E = C–Pb): A Theoretical Study. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 12733–12748. (c) Boehme, C.; Frenking, G. N-Heterocyclic Carbene, Silylene, and Germylene Complexes of MCl (M = Cu, Ag, Au). A Theoretical Study. *Organometallics*, **1998**, *17*, 5801–5809.

(15) For crystallographically characterized Pt complexes equipped with terminal silylenes, see: (a) Troadec, T.; Prades, A.; Rodriguez, R.; Mirgalet, R.; Baceiredo, A.; Saffon-Merceron, N.; Branchadell, V.; Kato, T. T. Silacyclopropylideneplatinum(0) Complex as a Robust and Efficient Hydrosilylation Catalyst. *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 8234–8240. (b) Iimura, T.; Akasaka, N.; Iwamoto, T. Dialkylsilylene-Pt(0) Complex with a DVTMS Ligand for the Catalytic Hydrosilylation of Functional Olefins. *Organometallics* **2016**, *35*, 4071–4076. (c) Baus, J. A.; Mück, F. M.; Bertermann, R.; Tacke, R. Homoleptic Two-Coordinate 14-Electron Palladium and Platinum Complexes with Two Bis(guanidinato)silylene Ligands. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *2016*, 4867–4871. (d) Agou, T.; Sasamori, T.; Tokitoh, N. Synthesis of an Arylbromosilylene–Platinum Complex by Using a 1,2-Dibromodisilene As a Silylene Source. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 1150–1154. (e) Watanabe, C.; Inagawa, Y.; Iwamoto, T.; Kira, M. Synthesis and structures of (dialkylsilylene)bis(phosphine)-nickel, palladium, and platinum complexes and (η^6 -arene)(dialkylsilylene)nickel complexes. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 9414–9420. (f) Avent, A. G.; Gehrus, B.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Maciejewski, H. Synthesis and characterisation of bis(amino)silylenes–nickel(0), –palladium(II), –platinum(0), –platinum(II) and copper(I) complexes. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2003**, *686*, 321–331. (g) Gehrus, B.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Maciejewski, H. Silylenenickel(0) or Silyl(silylene)platinum(II) Complexes by Reaction of Si[(NCH₂But)₂C₆H₄-1,2] with [NiCl₂(PPh₃)₂], [Ni(cod)₂], or [PtCl₂(PPh₃)₂]. *Organometallics* **1998**, *17*, 5599–5601. (h) Feldman, J. D.; Mitchell, G. P.; Nolte, J.-O.; Tilley, T. D. Isolation and Characterization of Neutral Platinum Silylene Complexes of the Type (R₃P)₂Pt=SiMes₂ (Mes = 2,4,6-Trimethylphenyl). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 11184–11185. (i) Grumbine, S. D.; Tilley, T. D.; Arnold, F. P.; Rheingold, A. L. A Fischer-type Silylene Complex of Platinum: [*trans*-(C₃P)₂(H)Pt=Si(SEt)₂]BPh₄. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 7884–7885.

(16) For crystallographically characterized Pt complexes equipped with terminal germynes, see: (a) Septelean, R.; Moraru, I.-T.; Kocsor, T.-G.; Deak, N.; Saffon-Merceron, N.; Castel, A.; Nemes, G. Computational and experimental investigation of phosphalkenyl germynes from donor-acceptor perspective. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2017**, *475*, 112–119. (b) Hupp, F.; Ma, M.; Kroll, F.; Oscar, F.; Jimenez-Halla, C.; Dewhurst, R. D.; Radacki, K.; Stasch, A.; Jones, C.; Braunschweig, H. Platinum Complexes Containing Pyramidalized Germanium and Tin Dihalide Ligands Bound through σ, σ M=E Multiple Bonds. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 16888–16898. (c) Sharma, M. K.; Singh,

D.; Mahawar, P.; Yadav, R.; Nagendran, S. Catalytic cyanosilylation using germylene stabilized platinum(II) dicyanide. *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 5943–5947. (d) Litz, K. E.; Henderson, K.; Gourley, R.W.; Holl, M. M. B. Reversible Insertion Reactions of a Platinum Germylene Complex. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 5008–5010.

(17) The platinum bound methyl groups (C49 and C50) of *cis*-**2**_{Ge-Mes} were disordered over two positions with 51:49 occupancy ratio.

(18) (a) The range of Pt–C bond distances found for [PtMe₂L₂] (L = any ligand) complexes, all of them with *cis* stereochemistry (172 examples), is 1.935–2.338 Å, with an average value of 2.087(2) Å (CSD version 5.40; updated May 2019); (b) See also, Allen F. H. The Cambridge Structural Database: a quarter of a million crystal structures and rising. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B*, 2002, **58**, 380.

(19) See, for example: Cabeza, J. A.; García-Álvarez, P.; Polo, D. Synthesis of Mixed Tin–Ruthenium and Tin–Germanium–Ruthenium Carbonyl Clusters from [Ru₃(CO)₁₂] and Diaminometalenes (M = Sn, Ge). *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 2569–2576.

(20) See, for example: (a) Haar, C. M.; Nolan, S. P.; Marshall, W. J.; Moloy, K. G.; Prock, A.; Giering, W. P. Synthetic, Structural, and Solution Thermochemical Studies in the Dimethylbis(phosphine)platinum(II) System. Dichotomy between Structural and Thermodynamic Trends. *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 474–479.

(21) See, for example: (a) Ríos, P.; Fouilloux, H.; Vidossich, P.; Díez, J.; Lledós, A.; Conejero, S. Isolation of a Cationic Platinum(II) σ -Silane Complex. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 3217 – 3221; (b) Fortman, G. C.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Nolan, S. P. Flexible cycloalkyl-substituted N-heterocyclic carbenes. *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 3923–3930; (c) Fortman, G. C.; Scott, N. M.; Linden, A.; Stevens, E. D.; Dorta, R.; Nolan, S. P. Unusual reactivities of N-heterocyclic carbenes upon coordination to the platinum(II)–dimethyl moiety. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 1050–1052.

(22) See the Supporting Information for further details.

(23) Zhang, Y.; Blacque, O.; Venkatesan, K. Highly Efficient Deep-Blue Emitters Based on *cis* and *trans* N-Heterocyclic Carbene Pt^{II} Acetylide Complexes: Synthesis, Photophysical Properties, and Mechanistic Studies. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 15689–15701 and references therein.

(24) (a) Campos, J.; Ortega-Moreno, L.; Conejero, S.; Peloso, R.; López-Serrano, J.; Maya, C.; Carmona, E. Reactivity of Cationic Agostic and Carbene Structures Derived from Platinum(II) Metallacycles. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, *21*, 8883–8896; (b) Campos, J.; Peloso, R.; Carmona, E. Synthesis and Reactivity of a Cationic Platinum(II) Alkylidene Complex. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8255–8258.

(25) Baratta, W.; Stoccoro, S.; Doppiu, A.; Herdtweck, E.; Zucca, A.; Rigo, P. Novel T-Shaped 14-Electron Platinum(II) Complexes Stabilized by One Agostic Interaction. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 105–108.

(26) Bassan R.; Bryars, K. H.; Judd, L.; Platt, A. W. G.; Pringle, P. G. Improved syntheses of [PtMe₂(1,5-COD)]. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1986**, *121*, L41–L42.

(27) Brookhart, M.; Grant, B.; Volpe, A. F. A [(3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃)₄B]⁻[H(OEt₂)₂]⁺: Convenient Reagent for Generation and Stabilization of Cationic, Highly Electrophilic Organometallic Complexes. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 3920–3922.

(28) CrysAlisPro RED, version 1.171.38.46: Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Oxford, U.K., 2015.

(29) SIR-97: Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Moliterni, A. G. C.; Polidori, G.; Spagna, R. *J. SIR97: a new tool for crystal structure determination and refinement. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1999**, *32*, 115–119.

(30) SHELXL-2014: Sheldrick, G. M. A short history of *SHELX*. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr.* **2008**, *64*, 112–122.

(31) WINGX, version 2013.3: Farrugia, L. J. *WinGX and ORTEP for Windows: an update. J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2012**, *45*, 849–854.

(32) MERCURY, CSD 3.9 (build RC1): Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, Cambridge, U.K., 2016.

(33) Chai, J.-D.; Head-Gordon, M. Long-range corrected hybrid density functionals with damped atom-atom dispersion corrections. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615–6620.

(34) (a) Ehrlich, S.; Moellmann, J.; Grimme, S. Dispersion-Corrected Density Functional Theory for Aromatic Interactions in Complex Systems. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 916–926. (b) Grimme, S. Density functional theory with London dispersion corrections. *Comp. Mol. Sci.* **2011**, *1*, 211–228. (c) Schwabe, T.; Grimme, S. Theoretical Thermodynamics for Large Molecules: Walking the Thin Line between Accuracy and Computational Cost. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 569–579.

(35) Figgien, D.; Peterson, K. A.; Dolg, M.; Stoll, H. Energy-consistent pseudopotentials and correlation consistent basis sets for the 5d elements Hf–Pt. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *130*, 164108–164120.

(36) Dunning, T. H. Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. I. The atoms boron through neon and hydrogen. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *90*, 1007–1023.

(37) (a) Barone, V.; Cossi, M. Quantum Calculation of Molecular Energies and Energy Gradients in Solution by a Conductor Solvent Model. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1998**, *102*, 1995–2001. (b) Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V. Energies, structures, and electronic properties of molecules in solution with the C-PCM solvation model. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2003**, *24*, 669–681.

(38) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian 09*, revision A.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, **2009**.

Figure for the Abstract and Table of Contents

