

Proceedings
of the
XXVI Congreso de Ecuaciones
Diferenciales y Aplicaciones
XVI Congreso de Matemática Aplicada

Gijón (Asturias), Spain

June 14-18, 2021



SēMA
Sociedad Española
de Matemática Aplicada



Universidad de Oviedo

Editors:
Rafael Gallego, Mariano Mateos

Esta obra está bajo una licencia Reconocimiento- No comercial- Sin Obra Derivada 3.0 España de Creative Commons. Para ver una copia de esta licencia, visite <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/es/> o envíe una carta a Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California 94105, USA.



Reconocimiento- No Comercial- Sin Obra Derivada (by-nc-nd): No se permite un uso comercial de la obra original ni la generación de obras derivadas.



Usted es libre de copiar, distribuir y comunicar públicamente la obra, bajo las condiciones siguientes:



Reconocimiento – Debe reconocer los créditos de la obra de la manera especificada por el licenciador:

Coordinadores: Rafael Gallego, Mariano Mateos (2021), Proceedings of the XXVI Congreso de Ecuaciones Diferenciales y Aplicaciones / XVI Congreso de Matemática Aplicada. Universidad de Oviedo.

La autoría de cualquier artículo o texto utilizado del libro deberá ser reconocida complementariamente.



No comercial – No puede utilizar esta obra para fines comerciales.



Sin obras derivadas – No se puede alterar, transformar o generar una obra derivada a partir de esta obra.

© 2021 Universidad de Oviedo

© Los autores

Universidad de Oviedo

Servicio de Publicaciones de la Universidad de Oviedo

Campus de Humanidades. Edificio de Servicios. 33011 Oviedo (Asturias)

Tel. 985 10 95 03 Fax 985 10 95 07

[http: www.uniovi.es/publicaciones](http://www.uniovi.es/publicaciones)

servipub@uniovi.es

ISBN: 978-84-18482-21-2

Todos los derechos reservados. De conformidad con lo dispuesto en la legislación vigente, podrán ser castigados con penas de multa y privación de libertad quienes reproduzcan o plagien, en todo o en parte, una obra literaria, artística o científica, fijada en cualquier tipo de soporte, sin la preceptiva autorización.

Foreword

It is with great pleasure that we present the Proceedings of the 26th Congress of Differential Equations and Applications / 16th Congress of Applied Mathematics (XXVI CEDYA / XVI CMA), the biennial congress of the Spanish Society of Applied Mathematics SĒMA, which is held in Gijón, Spain from June 14 to June 18, 2021.

In this volume we gather the short papers sent by some of the almost three hundred and twenty communications presented in the conference. Abstracts of all those communications can be found in the abstract book of the congress. Moreover, full papers by invited lecturers will shortly appear in a special issue of the SĒMA Journal.

The first CEDYA was celebrated in 1978 in Madrid, and the first joint CEDYA / CMA took place in Málaga in 1989. Our congress focuses on different fields of applied mathematics: Dynamical Systems and Ordinary Differential Equations, Partial Differential Equations, Numerical Analysis and Simulation, Numerical Linear Algebra, Optimal Control and Inverse Problems and Applications of Mathematics to Industry, Social Sciences, and Biology. Communications in other related topics such as Scientific Computation, Approximation Theory, Discrete Mathematics and Mathematical Education are also common.

For the last few editions, the congress has been structured in mini-symposia. In Gijón, we will have eighteen minis-symposia, proposed by different researchers and groups, and also five thematic sessions organized by the local organizing committee to distribute the individual contributions. We will also have a poster session and ten invited lectures. Among all the mini-symposia, we want to highlight the one dedicated to the memory of our colleague Francisco Javier “Pancho” Sayas, which gathers two plenary lectures, thirty-six talks, and more than forty invited people that have expressed their wish to pay tribute to his figure and work.

This edition has been deeply marked by the COVID-19 pandemic. First scheduled for June 2020, we had to postpone it one year, and move to a hybrid format. Roughly half of the participants attended the conference online, while the other half came to Gijón. Taking a normal conference and moving to a hybrid format in one year has meant a lot of efforts from all the parties involved. Not only did we, as organizing committee, see how much of the work already done had to be undone and redone in a different way, but also the administration staff, the scientific committee, the mini-symposia organizers, and many of the contributors had to work overtime for the change.

Just to name a few of the problems that all of us faced: some of the already accepted mini-symposia and contributed talks had to be withdrawn for different reasons (mainly because of the lack of flexibility of the funding agencies); it became quite clear since the very first moment that, no matter how well things evolved, it would be nearly impossible for most international participants to come to Gijón; reservations with the hotels and contracts with the suppliers had to be cancelled; and there was a lot of uncertainty, and even anxiety could be said, until we were able to confirm that the face-to-face part of the congress could take place as planned.

On the other hand, in the new open call for scientific proposals, we had a nice surprise: many people that would have not been able to participate in the original congress were sending new ideas for mini-symposia, individual contributions and posters. This meant that the total number of communications was about twenty percent greater than the original one, with most of the new contributions sent by students.

There were almost one hundred and twenty students registered for this CEDYA / CMA. The hybrid format allows students to participate at very low expense for their funding agencies, and this gives them the opportunity to attend different conferences and get more merits. But this, which can be seen as an advantage, makes it harder for them to obtain a full conference experience. Alfréd Rényi said: “a mathematician is a device for turning coffee into theorems”. Experience has taught us that a congress is the best place for a mathematician to have a lot of coffee. And coffee cannot be served online.

In Gijón, June 4, 2021

The Local Organizing Committee from the Universidad de Oviedo

Scientific Committee

- Juan Luis Vázquez, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
- María Paz Calvo, Universidad de Valladolid
- Laura Grigori, INRIA Paris
- José Antonio Langa, Universidad de Sevilla
- Mikel Lezaun, Euskal Herriko Unibersitatea
- Peter Monk, University of Delaware
- Ira Neitzel, Universität Bonn
- José Ángel Rodríguez, Universidad de Oviedo
- Fernando de Terán, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Sponsors

- Sociedad Española de Matemática Aplicada
- Departamento de Matemáticas de la Universidad de Oviedo
- Escuela Politécnica de Ingeniería de Gijón
- Gijón Convention Bureau
- Ayuntamiento de Gijón

Local Organizing Committee from the Universidad de Oviedo

- Pedro Alonso Velázquez
- Rafael Gallego
- Mariano Mateos
- Omar Menéndez
- Virginia Selgas
- Marisa Serrano
- Jesús Suárez Pérez del Río

Contents

On numerical approximations to diffuse-interface tumor growth models Acosta-Soba D., Guillén-González F. and Rodríguez-Galván J.R.	8
An optimized sixth-order explicit RKN method to solve oscillating systems Ahmed Demba M., Ramos H., Kumam P. and Watthayu W.	15
The propagation of smallness property and its utility in controllability problems Apraiz J.	23
Theoretical and numerical results for some inverse problems for PDEs Apraiz J., Doubova A., Fernández-Cara E. and Yamamoto M.	31
Pricing TARN options with a stochastic local volatility model Arregui I. and Ráfales J.	39
XVA for American options with two stochastic factors: modelling, mathematical analysis and numerical methods Arregui I., Salvador B., Ševčovič D. and Vázquez C.	44
A numerical method to solve Maxwell's equations in 3D singular geometry Assous F. and Raichik I.	51
Analysis of a SEIRS metapopulation model with fast migration Atienza P. and Sanz-Lorenzo L.	58
Goal-oriented adaptive finite element methods with optimal computational complexity Becker R., Gantner G., Innerberger M. and Praetorius D.	65
On volume constraint problems related to the fractional Laplacian Bellido J.C. and Ortega A.	73
A semi-implicit Lagrange-projection-type finite volume scheme exactly well-balanced for 1D shallow-water system Caballero-Cárdenas C., Castro M.J., Morales de Luna T. and Muñoz-Ruiz M.L.	82
SEIRD model with nonlocal diffusion Calvo Pereira A.N.	90
Two-sided methods for the nonlinear eigenvalue problem Campos C. and Roman J.E.	97
Fractionary iterative methods for solving nonlinear problems Candelario G., Cordero A., Torregrosa J.R. and Vassileva M.P.	105
Well posedness and numerical solution of kinetic models for angiogenesis Carpio A., Cebrián E. and Duro G.	109
Variable time-step modal methods to integrate the time-dependent neutron diffusion equation Carreño A., Vidal-Ferrándiz A., Ginestar D. and Verdú G.	114

Homoclinic bifurcations in the unfolding of the nilpotent singularity of codimension 4 in R^4 Casas P.S., Drubi F. and Ibáñez S.	122
Different approximations of the parameter for low-order iterative methods with memory Chicharro F.I., Garrido N., Sarría I. and Orcos L.	130
Designing new derivative-free memory methods to solve nonlinear scalar problems Cordero A., Garrido N., Torregrosa J.R. and Triguero P.	135
Iterative processes with arbitrary order of convergence for approximating generalized inverses Cordero A., Soto-Quirós P. and Torregrosa J.R.	141
FCF formulation of Einstein equations: local uniqueness and numerical accuracy and stability Cordero-Carrión I., Santos-Pérez S. and Cerdá-Durán P.	148
New Galilean spacetimes to model an expanding universe De la Fuente D.	155
Numerical approximation of dispersive shallow flows on spherical coordinates Escalante C. and Castro M.J.	160
New contributions to the control of PDEs and their applications Fernández-Cara E.	167
Saddle-node bifurcation of canard limit cycles in piecewise linear systems Fernández-García S., Carmona V. and Teruel A.E.	172
On the amplitudes of spherical harmonics of gravitational potencial and generalised products of inertia Floría L.	177
Turing instability analysis of a singular cross-diffusion problem Galiano G. and González-Tabernero V.	184
Weakly nonlinear analysis of a system with nonlocal diffusion Galiano G. and Velasco J.	192
What is the humanitarian aid required after tsunami? González-Vida J.M., Ortega S., Macías J., Castro M.J., Michelini A. and Azzarone A.	197
On Keller-Segel systems with fractional diffusion Granero-Belinchón R.	201
An arbitrary high order ADER Discontinuous Galerking (DG) numerical scheme for the multilayer shallow water model with variable density Guerrero Fernández E., Castro Díaz M.J., Dumbser M. and Morales de Luna T.	208
Picard-type iterations for solving Fredholm integral equations Gutiérrez J.M. and Hernández-Verón M.A.	216
High-order well-balanced methods for systems of balance laws based on collocation RK ODE solvers Gómez-Bueno I., Castro M.J., Parés C. and Russo G.	220
An algorithm to create conservative Galerkin projection between meshes Gómez-Molina P., Sanz-Lorenzo L. and Carpio J.	228
On iterative schemes for matrix equations Hernández-Verón M.A. and Romero N.	236
A predictor-corrector iterative scheme for improving the accessibility of the Steffensen-type methods Hernández-Verón M.A., Magreñán A.A., Martínez E. and Sukhjit S.	242

CONTENTS

Recent developments in modeling free-surface flows with vertically-resolved velocity profiles using moments Koellermeier J.	247
Stability of a one degree of freedom Hamiltonian system in a case of zero quadratic and cubic terms Lanchares V. and Bardin B.	253
Minimal complexity of subharmonics in a class of planar periodic predator-prey models López-Gómez J., Muñoz-Hernández E. and Zanolin F.	258
On a non-linear system of PDEs with application to tumor identification Maestre F. and Pedregal P.	265
Fractional evolution equations in discrete sequences spaces Miana P.J.	271
KPZ equation approximated by a nonlocal equation Molino A.	277
Symmetry analysis and conservation laws of a family of non-linear viscoelastic wave equations Márquez A. and Bruzón M.	284
Flux-corrected methods for chemotaxis equations Navarro Izquierdo A.M., Redondo Nebel M.V. and Rodríguez Galván J.R.	289
Ejection-collision orbits in two degrees of freedom problems Ollé M., Álvarez-Ramírez M., Barrabés E. and Medina M.	295
Teaching experience in the Differential Equations Semi-Virtual Method course of the Tecnológico de Costa Rica Oviedo N.G.	300
Nonlinear analysis in lorentzian geometry: the maximal hypersurface equation in a generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime Pelegrín J.A.S.	307
Well-balanced algorithms for relativistic fluids on a Schwarzschild background Pimentel-García E., Parés C. and LeFloch P.G.	313
Asymptotic analysis of the behavior of a viscous fluid between two very close mobile surfaces Rodríguez J.M. and Taboada-Vázquez R.	321
Convergence rates for Galerkin approximation for magnetohydrodynamic type equations Rodríguez-Bellido M.A., Rojas-Medar M.A. and Sepúlveda-Cerda A.	325
Asymptotic aspects of the logistic equation under diffusion Sabina de Lis J.C. and Segura de León S.	332
Analysis of turbulence models for flow simulation in the aorta Santos S., Rojas J.M., Romero P., Lozano M., Conejero J.A. and García-Fernández I.	339
Overdetermined elliptic problems in unduloid-type domains with general nonlinearities Wu J.	344

Asymptotic analysis of the behavior of a viscous fluid between two very close mobile surfaces

José M. Rodríguez¹, Raquel Taboada-Vázquez¹
Universidade da Coruña, Departamento de Matemáticas, Spain

Abstract

The aim of this work is the study of the behavior of an incompressible viscous fluid moving between two closely spaced surfaces, also in motion. To carry out this work we use the asymptotic expansion method that allows us to formally justify two different models starting from the same initial problem: a lubrication model and a shallow water model. The type of model that yields depends on whether the fluid is “pressure dominated” and on the boundary conditions imposed. We discuss in detail under what conditions each of the models would be applicable.

1. Introduction

In this work, we are interested, in a first step, in justifying using the asymptotic development technique, a lubrication model in a thin domain with curved mean surface.

The asymptotic analysis method is a mathematical tool that has been widely used to obtain and justify reduced models, both in solid and fluid mechanics, when one or two of the dimensions of the domain are much smaller than the others. In particular, in fluid mechanics, these technique has been applied to derive lubrication models, shallow water models, tube flow models, etc. (see, for example, [1]- [9], [11]- [12], and many others). Here, we follow the steps of [2], but changing the starting point.

We consider a three-dimensional thin domain, Ω_t^ε , filled by a fluid, that varies with time $t \in [0, T]$, given by

$$\Omega_t^\varepsilon = \{(x_1^\varepsilon, x_2^\varepsilon, x_3^\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_i(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) \leq x_i^\varepsilon \leq x_i(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) + h^\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, t)N_i(\xi_1, \xi_2, t), \\ (i = 1, 2, 3), (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^2\} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\vec{X}_t(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \vec{X}(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) = (x_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, t), x_2(\xi_1, \xi_2, t), x_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, t))$ is the lower bound surface parametrization, $h^\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, t)$ is the gap between the two surfaces in motion, and $\vec{N}(\xi_1, \xi_2, t)$ is the unit normal vector:

$$\vec{N}(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) = \frac{\frac{\partial \vec{X}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \frac{\partial \vec{X}}{\partial \xi_2}}{\left\| \frac{\partial \vec{X}}{\partial \xi_1} \times \frac{\partial \vec{X}}{\partial \xi_2} \right\|} \quad (1.2)$$

The lower bound surface is assumed to be regular and the gap is assumed to be small with regard to the dimension of the bound surfaces. We take into account that the fluid film between the surfaces is thin by introducing a small non-dimensional parameter ε , and setting that

$$h^\varepsilon(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) = \varepsilon h(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$h(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) \geq h_0 > 0, \quad \forall (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (1.4)$$

Let us suppose that the fluid motion is governed by Navier-Stokes equations since we consider that it is an incompressible newtonian fluid,

$$\rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial u_i^\varepsilon}{\partial t^\varepsilon} + \frac{\partial u_i^\varepsilon}{\partial x_j^\varepsilon} u_j^\varepsilon \right) = -\frac{\partial p^\varepsilon}{\partial x_i^\varepsilon} + \mu \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_i^\varepsilon}{\partial (x_1^\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_i^\varepsilon}{\partial (x_2^\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_i^\varepsilon}{\partial (x_3^\varepsilon)^2} \right) + \rho_0 f_i^\varepsilon, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \quad (1.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_j^\varepsilon}{\partial x_j^\varepsilon} = 0 \quad (1.6)$$

where repeated indices indicate summation (j takes values from 1 to 3), ρ_0 is the fluid density, \vec{u}^ε is the velocity, p^ε is the pressure and \vec{f}^ε is the density of applied volume forces.

Now, we consider a reference domain independent of ε and t

$$\Omega = D \times [0, 1] \quad (1.7)$$

related to Ω_i^ε by the following change of variable:

$$t^\varepsilon = t \quad (1.8)$$

$$x_i^\varepsilon = x_i(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) + \varepsilon \xi_3 h(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) N_i(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) \quad (1.9)$$

where $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in D$ and $\xi_3 \in [0, 1]$, and we make a change of basis to a new basis $\{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \vec{a}_3\}$, where

$$\vec{a}_1(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) = \frac{\partial \vec{X}(\xi_1, \xi_2, t)}{\partial \xi_1} \quad (1.10)$$

$$\vec{a}_2(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) = \frac{\partial \vec{X}(\xi_1, \xi_2, t)}{\partial \xi_2} \quad (1.11)$$

$$\vec{a}_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) = \vec{N}(\xi_1, \xi_2, t) \quad (1.12)$$

The details about the change of variable and basis can be found in [13].

The velocity and the applied forces $(\vec{u}^\varepsilon, \vec{f}^\varepsilon)$ are written in the new basis (1.10)-(1.12) as follows:

$$\vec{u}^\varepsilon = u_i^\varepsilon \vec{e}_i = u_k(\varepsilon) \vec{a}_k \quad (1.13)$$

$$\vec{f}^\varepsilon = f_i^\varepsilon \vec{e}_i = f_k(\varepsilon) \vec{a}_k \quad (1.14)$$

so

$$u_i^\varepsilon = (u_k(\varepsilon) \vec{a}_k) \cdot \vec{e}_i = u_k(\varepsilon) a_{ki} \quad (1.15)$$

$$f_i^\varepsilon = (f_k(\varepsilon) \vec{a}_k) \cdot \vec{e}_i = f_k(\varepsilon) a_{ki} \quad (1.16)$$

where $a_{ki} = \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{e}_i$, and we assume that the velocity, the pressure and the applied forces can be developed in powers of ε as in [2], [1], [6], [11] and [12]:

$$u_i(\varepsilon) = u_i^0 + \varepsilon u_i^1 + \varepsilon^2 u_i^2 + \dots \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \quad (1.17)$$

$$p(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-2} p^{-2} + \varepsilon^{-1} p^{-1} + p^0 + \varepsilon p^1 + \varepsilon^2 p^2 + \dots \quad (1.18)$$

$$f_i(\varepsilon) = f_i^0 + \varepsilon f_i^1 + \varepsilon^2 f_i^2 + \dots \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \quad (1.19)$$

Taking into account (1.15)-(1.16), equations (1.5)-(1.6) yield ($i = 1, 2, 3$):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial (u_k(\varepsilon) a_{ki})}{\partial t^\varepsilon} + \frac{\partial (u_k(\varepsilon) a_{ki})}{\partial x_j^\varepsilon} (u_k(\varepsilon) a_{kj}) \right) &= - \frac{\partial p(\varepsilon)}{\partial x_i^\varepsilon} \\ &+ \mu \left(\frac{\partial^2 (u_k(\varepsilon) a_{ki})}{\partial (x_1^\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 (u_k(\varepsilon) a_{ki})}{\partial (x_2^\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 (u_k(\varepsilon) a_{ki})}{\partial (x_3^\varepsilon)^2} \right) + \rho_0 f_k(\varepsilon) a_{ki} \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

$$\frac{\partial (u_k(\varepsilon) a_{kj})}{\partial x_j^\varepsilon} = 0 \quad (1.21)$$

Next, we substitute developments (1.17)-(1.19) in Navier-Stokes equations written in the reference domain ((1.20)-(1.21)) and we identify the terms multiplied by the same power of ε . In this way we obtain a series of equations that will allow us to determine the terms of the previous developments.

In the next two sections we summarize the results obtained in [13].

2. A new generalized lubrication model

If we assume that the fluid slips at the lower surface ($\xi_3 = 0$), and at the upper surface ($\xi_3 = 1$), but there is continuity in the normal direction, so the tangential velocities at the lower and upper surfaces are known, and the normal velocity of each of them must match the fluid velocity, we derive the following generalized lubrication equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A^0}} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{h^3}{\sqrt{A^0}} M \nabla p^{-2} \right) &= 12\mu \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + 12\mu \frac{h A^1}{A^0} \left(\frac{\partial \vec{X}}{\partial t} \cdot \vec{N} \right) \\ &- 6\mu \nabla h \cdot (\vec{W}^0 - \vec{V}^0) + \frac{6\mu h}{\sqrt{A^0}} \operatorname{div}(\sqrt{A^0}(\vec{W}^0 + \vec{V}^0)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where the pressure is approximated by $\varepsilon^{-2}p^{-2}$

$$A^0 = EG - F^2 \tag{2.2}$$

$$A^1 = -eG - gE + 2fF \tag{2.3}$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} G & -F \\ -F & E \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.4}$$

and E, F, G, e, f, g are the coefficients of the first and second fundamental forms, respectively, of the surface parametrized by \vec{X} . $\vec{V}^0 = (V_1^0, V_2^0)$ and $\vec{W}^0 = (W_1^0, W_2^0)$ are the approximations of order 0 on ε of the tangential velocity at the lower and upper surfaces respectively.

Once p^{-2} is calculated we have the following approximation of the three components of the velocity:

$$u_1^0 = \frac{h^2(\xi_3^2 - \xi_3)}{2\mu A^0} \left(G \frac{\partial p^{-2}}{\partial \xi_1} - F \frac{\partial p^{-2}}{\partial \xi_2} \right) + \xi_3(W_1^0 - V_1^0) + V_1^0 \tag{2.5}$$

$$u_2^0 = \frac{h^2(\xi_3^2 - \xi_3)}{2\mu A^0} \left(E \frac{\partial p^{-2}}{\partial \xi_2} - F \frac{\partial p^{-2}}{\partial \xi_1} \right) + \xi_3(W_2^0 - V_2^0) + V_2^0 \tag{2.6}$$

$$u_3^0 = \frac{\partial \vec{X}}{\partial t} \cdot \vec{N} \tag{2.7}$$

If we consider the classic assumptions to derive Reynolds equations (domain independent of time, $x_3 = 0$ in (1.1), upper surface fixed, lower surface moving in the x_1 -direction with constant velocity), we re-obtain the classic Reynolds equation (see [10]) from (2.1).

3. A new thin fluid layer model

During this process we have observed that, depending on the boundary conditions, other models can be obtained. In this section, we change the boundary conditions that we imposed in the first case: instead of assuming that we know the velocities on the upper and lower boundaries of the domain, we assume that we know the tractions on these upper and lower boundaries. In particular, we assume that the normal component of the traction on $\xi_3 = 0$ and on $\xi_3 = 1$ are known pressures (π_0^ε and π_1^ε), and that the tangential component of the traction on these surfaces are friction forces depending on the value of the velocities on ∂D .

Under these assumptions we derive a shallow water model that allow us to determine h, V_1^0 and V_2^0 :

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{h}{\sqrt{A^0}} \operatorname{div} \left(\sqrt{A^0} \vec{V}^0 \right) + \frac{hA^1}{A^0} \left(\frac{\partial \vec{X}}{\partial t} \cdot \vec{N} \right) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V_i^0}{\partial t} + \sum_{l=1}^2 \left(V_l^0 - C_l^0 \right) \frac{\partial V_i^0}{\partial \xi_l} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \left(R_{ik}^0 + \sum_{l=1}^2 H_{ilk}^0 V_l^0 \right) V_k^0 &= -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \left(\alpha_i^0 \frac{\partial \pi_0^0}{\partial \xi_1} + \beta_i^0 \frac{\partial \pi_0^0}{\partial \xi_2} \right) \\ + \nu \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{l=1}^2 \frac{\partial^2 V_i^0}{\partial \xi_m \partial \xi_l} J_{lm}^0 + \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{l=1}^2 \frac{\partial V_k^0}{\partial \xi_l} (L_{kli}^0 + \psi(h)_{ikl}^0) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^2 V_k^0 (S_{ik}^0 + \chi(h)_{ik}^0) + \hat{\kappa}(h)_i^0 \right\} + F_i^0(h) - Q_{i3}^0 \left(\frac{\partial \vec{X}}{\partial t} \cdot \vec{N} \right) \quad (i = 1, 2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_i^0, \beta_i^0, C_l^0, H_{ilk}^0, J_{lm}^0, L_{kli}^0, Q_{i3}^0, R_{ik}^0, S_{ik}^0$ depend only on the lower bound surface parametrization, \vec{X} while the coefficients $F_i^0(h), \psi(h)_{ikl}^0, \chi(h)_{ik}^0, \hat{\kappa}(h)_i^0$ depend both on the parametrization and on the gap h . The detailed definition of these coefficients is given in [13].

Let π_0^0 be the approximation of order 0 on ε of the pressure π_0^ε . Then, we obtain the following approximations of the velocity and the pressure:

$$u_i^0 = W_i^0 = V_i^0 \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{3.3}$$

$$u_3^0 = \frac{\partial \vec{X}}{\partial t} \cdot \vec{N} \tag{3.4}$$

$$p^0 = \frac{2\mu}{h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \pi_0^0 \tag{3.5}$$

4. Conclusions

Thus, two new models that can not be found in the literature, as far as we know, are presented here. Both models have been derived starting from the same initial problem, an incompressible viscous fluid moving between two closely spaced surfaces.

The method used to justify them allows us to answer the question of when each of them is applicable. We reach the conclusion that the magnitude of the pressure differences at the lateral boundary of the domain is key when deciding which of the two models best describes the fluid behavior.

Boundary conditions tell us which of the two models should be used when simulating the flow of a thin fluid layer between two surfaces: if the fluid pressure is dominant (that is, it is of order $O(\varepsilon^{-2})$), and the fluid velocity is known on the upper and lower surfaces, we must use the lubrication model; if the fluid pressure is not dominant (that is, it is of order $O(1)$), and the tractions are known on the upper and lower surfaces, we must use the shallow water model. In the first case we will say that the fluid is “driven by the pressure” and in the second that it is “driven by the velocity”.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad of Spain, under grant MTM2016-78718-P with the participation of FEDER, and the European Union’s Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme, under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No 823731 CONMECH.

References

- [1] A. Assemien, G. Bayada, M. Chambat. Inertial effects in the asymptotic behavior of a thin film flow. *Asymptotic Analysis*, 9(3): 177–208, 1994. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ASY-1994-9301>.
- [2] G. Bayada, M. Chambat. The Transition Between the Stokes Equations and the Reynolds Equation: A Mathematical Proof. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 14: 73–93, 1986. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01442229>.
- [3] D. Bresch, P. Noble. Mathematical justification of a shallow water model. *Methods and Applications of Analysis*, 14(2): 87–118, 2007. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4310/MAA.2007.v14.n2.a1>.
- [4] G. Castiñeira, J. M. Rodríguez. Asymptotic Analysis of a Viscous Fluid in a Curved Pipe with Elastic Walls. F. Ortega Gallego, M. Redondo Neble, J. Rodríguez Galván (eds), *Trends in Differential Equations and Applications*, SEMA SIMAI Springer Series 8, Springer, Cham.: 73–87, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-32013-7_5.
- [5] G. Castiñeira, E. Marušić-Paloka, I. Pažanin, J. M. Rodríguez. Rigorous justification of the asymptotic model describing a curved-pipe flow in a time-dependent domain. *Z Angew Math Mech.*, 99(1): 99:e201800154, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zamm.201800154>.
- [6] G. Cimatti. A rigorous justification of the Reynolds equation. *Quart. Appl. Math.*, 45: 627–644, 1987. <https://doi.org/10.1090/qam/917014>.
- [7] H. Dridi. Comportement asymptotique des équations de Navier-Stokes dans des domaines “aplatis”. *Bull. Sc. Math.*, 106: 369–385, 1982. <https://zbmath.org/?q=an:0512.35015>.
- [8] I. Moise, R. Temam, M. Ziane. Asymptotic analysis of the Navier-Stokes equations in thin domains. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 10(2): 249–282, 1997. <https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.tmna/1476842206>.
- [9] S. A. Nazarov. Asymptotic solution of the Navier-Stokes problem on the flow of a thin layer of fluid. *Sib Math J*, 31(2): 296–307, 1990. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00970660>.
- [10] O. Reynolds. On the theory of lubrication and its application to Mr Beauchamp tower’s experiments. *Phil. Trans. Roy Soc. London*, 117: 157–234, 1886 <https://www.jstor.org/stable/109480>.
- [11] J. M. Rodríguez, R. Taboada-Vázquez. Bidimensional shallow water model with polynomial dependence on depth through vorticity. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 359(2): 556–569, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2009.06.003>.
- [12] J. M. Rodríguez, R. Taboada-Vázquez. Derivation of a new asymptotic viscous shallow water model with dependence on depth. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 219(7): 3292–3307, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2011.08.053>.
- [13] J. M. Rodríguez, R. Taboada-Vázquez. Asymptotic analysis of a thin fluid layer flow between two moving surfaces. *arXiv:2101.07862* (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.07862>), 2021, sent to Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications.