

ω-Alkenylallylboronates: Design, Synthesis, and Application to the Asymmetric Allylation/RCM Tandem Sequence

Francisco Garnes-Portolés,^[a] Rubén Miguélez,^[b] Matthew N. Grayson,^[c] and Pablo Barrio*^[a, b]

Dedicated to Professor Santos Fustero.

The synthesis of allylboronates bearing an alkenyl moiety appended at a remote position is described for the first time. For that aim, the palladium-catalyzed borylation of the corresponding allylic alcohols was used as the key step. The required allylic alcohols were in turn obtained in 3–4 step sequences. The presence of an extra alkenyl moiety at a strategic position allows the triggering of a tandem asymmetric allylation/RCM reaction sequence that efficiently affords different sized cycles featuring two consecutive stereocenters and an exocyclic alcohol function. Products are obtained in moderate to excellent yields and high enantioselectivities, in most of the cases.

The asymmetric construction of cyclic backbones from acyclic precursors represents a longstanding challenge in organic synthesis. The simultaneous control over both endo- and exocyclic stereocenters results in an especially difficult task. Among stereoselective methodologies, the allylboration of carbonyl compounds shows a number of interesting features due to the highly ordered chair-like transition state that accounts for a stereospecific transformation rendering complete control over the relative configuration of the two contiguous stereocenters set up when the allylborating reagent bears substitution at the γ -position.^[1,2] In 2010, Antilla described for the first time the chiral Brønsted acid-catalyzed asymmetric allylboration of aldehydes.^[3,4] During the following decade, a

[a]	F. Garnes-Portolés, Dr. P. Barrio
	Departmento de Química Orgánica
	Universidad de Valencia
	46100, Burjassot, Spain
[b]	R. Miguélez, Dr. P. Barrio
	Departmento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica
	Universidad de Oviedo
	Avenida Julian Clavería 8, 33006 Oviedo, Spain
	E-mail: barriopablo@uniovi.es
[c]	Dr. M. N. Grayson
	Department of Chemistry
	University of Bath
	Claverton Down, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK
	Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under
	https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.202100528
Special collection	Part of the "Organocatalysis" Special Collection.

© 2021 The Authors. European Journal of Organic Chemistry published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. number of synthetic applications were developed by others and us.^[5] Among them, our first contribution in the field described the tandem allylboration/ring-closing metathesis (RCM) reaction sequence for the asymmetric construction of cyclic homoallylic alcohols (Scheme 1a).^[5a] For that aim, an extra alkenyl functionality was introduced in a strategic remote position of the aldehyde counterpart. Thus, different sized carbocycles bearing one or two endocyclic stereocenters were achieved in high yields and excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivities, in most cases. The viability of the relay catalysis process Brønsted acid catalyzed asymmetric allylboration/RCM reaction sequence allowed us to envision a new related process, described herein (Scheme 1b).^[6,7] Following this, in 2015, we described for the first time the use of an allylboronate bearing an extra functionality pending at the γ position.^[8] Since then, other groups have shown interest in this field giving rise to a number of interesting synthetic applications.^[9] Herein, the introduction of an extra alkenyl functionality in a remote position of the allylboronate instead of the aldehyde results in the formation of two contiguous stereocenters, now one endocyclic and the second exocyclic. Moreover, for this new process the alcohol function would occupy an exocyclic position.

Our first challenge was the synthesis of the hitherto unknown ω -alkenylallylboronates **1**.^[10] Building upon our own experience,^[8] we decided to rely on an allylic alcohol borylation of commercially available (*E*)-octa-2,7-dien-1-ol **2a** (Scheme 2).^[11] The key allylic borylation afforded model substrate **1a** in moderate yield (Scheme 2). It is worth noting that despite the starting allylic alcohol **2a** was used as a 6:1 mixture



Scheme 1. Precedents and new strategy.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of model substrate.

of E/Z isomers product **1a** was obtained exclusively as the corresponding *E*-isomer. This result may be explained by the intermediacy of a palladium π -allyl complex responsible for the observed stereochemistry scrambling.^[12]

Once the viability of the synthesis of the allyborating agent **1 a** was stablished, we aimed to synthesize other ω -alkenylallylboronates **1 b,c** that would afford other ring sizes. First, the synthesis of this previously unknown allylboronate class will be outlined (Scheme 3). The precursors for 5- and 7-membered carbocycles **1 b,c** were both synthesized by allylic borylation of the corresponding known ω -alkenylallylic alcohols **2 b,c** which were in turn prepared from commercially available 4-penten-1-ol **3** and propargyl alcohol **4** in three and four steps, respectively (Scheme 3).^[14,15]

Trying to increase the complexity of our tandem process, we designed bis ω -alkenylallylboronate **1d** (Scheme 4). We envisioned that the presence of two alkenyl chains in the open chain intermediate may result in a diastereoselective RCM subsequent step, that could be regarded as a pseudodesymmetrization process. **1d** was obtained in moderate yield using otherwise identical conditions that for the rest of the allylboronates **1a–c** using alcohols **2d. 2d** was in turn synthesized in three simple steps starting from ethyl formate **6** (Scheme 4).





Scheme 3. Synthesis of ω -alkenylallylboronates 1 b,c.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of ω-bisalkenylallylboronate 1 d.

With model substrate 1a in our hands, we evaluated the viability of our asymmetric allylation/RCM strategy, first in a stepwise manner. To our delight, the allylboration step afforded product 8a in good chemical yield, as a single diastereoisomer and in high enantioselectivity without modifying the standard conditions ((*R*)-TRIP, toluene, -30° C).^[13] Similarly, the RCM using second generation Grubbs' catalyst ([Ru-II]) afforded final product 9a in moderate yield and with a minor erosion of the optical purity (Scheme 5). Our experience allowed us being optimistic about success of the one-pot transformation under relay catalysis conditions. Indeed, when the reaction is conducted in the presence of both catalysts at -30 °C in toluene overnight, followed by stirring at room temperature for 6 extra hours, product 9a is obtained in an improved yield and enantioselectivity (Scheme 5). It is worth noting that our methodology gives rise to the threo diastereoisomer that is the minor diastereoisomer formed in the allylation of carbonyl compounds using either classic cyclic allylmetal additions^[16] or in a more recent photoredox asymmetric allylation with cyclic alkanes.[17]

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

Once our relay catalysis strategy has been stablished, we turned to study the scope of this new transformation (Scheme 6). Aromatic aldehydes bearing both electron-withdrawing (9a.c) and electron-donating substituents (9d) afforded the product in good yield and high enantioselectivity (Scheme 6). Regarding substitution pattern, while the introduction of substituents at the para and metha positions did not compromise chemical yield neither enantioselectivity (9e,f), the presence of a substituent in the ortho position completely inhibited the reaction (9 g) (Scheme 6). Moreover, alkenyl as well as heteroaromatic aldehydes could also be successfully employed (9h,i). While the use of cinnamaldehyde resulted in a moderate drop both in chemical yield and enantioselectivity (9h), furfuraldehyde behaved very well in terms of enantiocontrol, although the yield was also moderately lower (9i) (Scheme 6). Finally, aliphatic aldehydes were also used affording the corresponding products (9j,k) in moderate yields and enantioselectivities (Scheme 6).

Next, the extension of the asymmetric allylboration/RCM tandem sequence was explored using the new ω -alkenylallylboronates **1 b,c** (Scheme 7). Reagent **1 b** successfully afforded five-membered products **10 a**–**c** in excellent yields and high enantioselectivities (Scheme 7). Seven-membered derivative





Scheme 5. Validation of both the stepwise and the relay catalysis strategies.





Scheme 6. Scope for the asymmetric allylation/RCM tandem process using 1 a.



Scheme 7. Use of ω -alkenylallylboronates 1 b,c in the asymmetric allylboration/RCM tandem sequence.

11 a was obtained starting from reagent **1 c** in moderate yield and enantioselectivity as mixture of diastereoisomers (Scheme 7).

We believe that the decreased enantioselectivity observed for seven-membered rings may be explained by the higher temperature at which the RCM step must be conducted in these cases (Scheme 7). The ability of ruthenium species to catalyze transfer hydrogenation reactions is welldocumented.^[18] We believe that the formation of a ruthenium alkoxide *I* may result in a reversible β -hydride elimination/ migratory insertion sequence, accounting for the loss in stereochemical integrity. In order to shed some light on this issue, the corresponding ring-opened intermediate was isolated. To our delight, intermediate **12 a** was obtained in high yield, as a single diastereoisomer and in an excellent 93% ee, showing that the erosion of optical purity observed was due to the RCM step, as expected (Scheme 8).

Finally, we challenged our methodology towards the asymmetric allylboration/psudodesymmetring RCM tandem process using bisalkenylated allylboronate **1 d**. Unfortunately, the final product **13** was obtained in low yield, a moderate 5:1 diastereomeric ratio and a poor 36% ee (Scheme 9). Noteworthy, this is one of the rare examples of chiral Børnsted acid-catalyzed allylboration using a γ , γ -disubstituted boronate.^[19] This fact may account both for the low reactivity and the poor enantioselectivity obtained.

In conclusion, allylboronates functionalized at a remote position with an extra alkenyl moiety have been synthesized for the first time, using a unified strategy based on the borylation of the corresponding dienyl allylic alcohols. Their participation in a tandem chiral Brønsted acid-catalyzed allylboration/ringclosing metathesis tandem process has then been studied affording several sized-cyclic alcohols, bearing the hydroxyl group at an exocyclic position. The corresponding 5- and 6membered analogs are obtained in good chemical yields, as single diastereosimers and in high ee's. However, 7-membered rings are obtained with poorer results, due to the high temperature required for RCM in this case that results in partial epimerization. The use of a bis alkenylated allylboronate does not allow to carry out the pseudo desymmetrization of the two chains efficiently. Further efforts aimed at the synthesis of



Scheme 8. Study of the loss of optical integrity in seven-membered ring derivatives 10 a,b.



Scheme 9. Use of $\omega\text{-alkenylallylboronate 1\,d}$ in the asymmetric allylboration/ RCM tandem sequence.



heterocyclic derivatives are currently under study and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgements

P. B. thanks the Spanish MINECO for a Ramón y Cajal contract (RyC-2016-20951).M.N.G. thanks the University of Bath for financial support.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: Asymmetric allylborylation • Functionalized allylboronates • Relay catalysis • Ring-closing metathesis

- For reviews on the allylboration reaction, see: a) C. Diner, K. J. Szabo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017,139, 2; b) H.-X. Huo, J. R. Duvall, M.-Y. Huang, R. Hong, Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 303; c) E. M. Carreira, L. Kvaerno, in: Classics in Strereoselective Synthesis Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009, Chapter 5, p 164; d) H. Lachance, D. G. Hall, Org. React. 2008, 73, 1; e) S. E. Denmark, J. Fu, Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2763; f) S. E. Denmark, N. G. Almstead, in: Modern Carbonyl Chemistry; (Ed: J. Otera), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000, 299; g) S. R. Chemler, W. R. Roush, in: Modern Carbonyl Chemistry (Ed: J. Otera), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000, Chapter 10, 403; h) Y. Yamamoto, N. Asao, Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 2207; i) W. R. Roush, in: Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 2B. (Ed: M. Trost), Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1991, p 1.
- [2] For an excellent account on chair-like six-membered transition states, see: T. Mejuch, N. Gilboa, E. Gayon, H. Wang, K. N. Houk, I. Marek Acc, *Chem. Res.* 2013, 46, 1659.
- [3] P. Jain, J. C. Antilla, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11884.
- [4] For a recent review on the chiral Brønsted acid catalyzed alylboration reaction, see: D. M. Sedgwick, M. N. Grayson, S. Fustero, P. Barrio, *Synthesis* 2018, 50, 1935.
- [5] For selected examples, see: a) S. Fustero, E. Rodríguez, R. Lázaro, L. Herrera, S. Catalán, P. Barrio, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 1058; b) E. Rodríguez, M. N. Grayson, A. Asensio, P. Barrio, K. N. Houk, S. Fustero ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 2506; c) P. Koukal, M. Kotora, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 7408; d) L. R. Reddy, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1142; e) P. Jain, H. Wang, K. N. Houk, J. C. Antilla, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1391; f) C. A. I. Pradillos, M. A. Kabeshov, A. V. Malkov, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 5338; g) H. Shimizu, T. Igarashi, T. Miura, M. Murakami, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11465; h) T. Miura, Y. Nishida, M. Murakami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6223; i) T. Miura, J. Nakahashi, W. Zhou, Y. Shiratori, S. G. Stewart, M. Murakami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017,139, 10903; j) R. Hemelaere, F. Carreaux, B. Carboni, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 14518; k) Z. Tao, X. Li, Z. Han, L. Gong J Am, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 137, 4054; I) L. Clot-Almenara, C. Rodríguez-Escrich, L. Osorio-Planes, M. A. Pericàs, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 7647; m) M. Chen, W. R. Roush, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10947; n) Y. Huang, X. Yang, Z. Lv, C. Cai, C. Kai, Y. Pei, Y. Feng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7299; o) M. Wang, S. Khan, E. Miliordos, M. Chen Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 4634; p) M. Wang, S. Khan, E. Miliordos, M. Chen Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 3810; q) J. Yuan, P. Jain, J.C. Antilla, J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85, 12988. For a review on our

contribution on the field, see:; r) P. Barrio, E. Rodríguez, S. Fustero, *Chem. Rec.* **2016**, *16*, 2046.

- [6] For reviews on relay catalysis, see: a) S. Martinez, L. Veth, B. Lainer, P. Dydio, ACS Catal. 2021, 11, 3891; b) C. Song, J. Wang, Z. Xu Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 5802; c) N. T. Patil, V. S. Shinde, B. Gajula Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 211.
- [7] For selected examples of the combination of chiral phosphoric acids and transition metal catalysts in relay catalysis processes, see: a) Y. Zhu, W. He, W. Wang, C. E. Pitsch, X. Wang, X. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12206; b) S. Narute, R. Parnes, F. D. Toste, D. Pappo J Am, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 138, 16553; c) Z.-Y. Han, D.-F. Chen, Y.-Y. Wang, R. Guo, P.-S. Wang, C. Wang, L.-Z. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 6532; d) M. Terada, Y. Toda, Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 2135; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2093; e) M. E. Muratore, C. A. Holloway, A. W. Pilling, R. I. Storer, G. Trevitt, D. J. Dixon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10796; f) Z.-Y. Han, H. Xiao, X.-H. Chen, L.-Z. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9182; g) K. Sorimachi, M. Terada, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14452. Reviews: h) P.-S. Wang, D.-F. Chen, L.-Z. Gong, Top. Curr. Chem. 2020, 378, 9; i) G.-C. Fang, Y.-F. Cheng, Z.-L. Yu, Z.-L. Li, X.-Y. Liu Top. Curr. Chem. 2019, 377, 1; j) Z.-P. Yang, W. Zhang, S.-L. You, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 7785. For specific examples with ruthenium-based metathesis catalysts, see: k) Q. Cai, C. Zheng, S.-L. You, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8666; I) Q. Cai, Z. A. Zhao, S.-L. You, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7428.
- [8] P. Barrio, E. Rodriguez, K. Saito, S. Fustero, T. Akiyama, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 5246.
- [9] a) T. Miura, N. Oku, Y. Shiratori, Y. Nagata, M. Murakami, Chem. Eur. J. 2021, 27, 3861; b) S. Gao, M. Duan, Q. Shao, K. N. Houk, M. Chen J Am, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2020, 142, 18355; c) S. Gao, M. Duan, K. N. Houk, M. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 10540; d) S. Gao, M. Chen, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 400; e) J. Liu, M. Chen, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 4967; f) J. Liu, M. Chen, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 4020; d) B. E. Hetzler, G. Volpin, E. Vignoni, A. G. Petrovic, G. Proni, C. T. Hu, D. Trauner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 14276; h) S. Gao, M. Chen Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6174.
- [10] Strictly speaking, the skipped dienyl boronates described by Marek in the following example as well as Chen's 1,3-pentadienylboronate, see reference 9c, would also belong to this category. However they would afford cyclopropenes upon our relay catalysis strategy, very unfavourable products in RCM: D. Pierrot, I. Marek, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 20434.
- [11] G. Dutheuil, N. Selander, K. J. Szabó, V. K. Aggarwal, Synthesis 2008, 2293.
- [12] For a recent review on π-allyl palladium chemistry, see: R. A. Fernandes, J. L. Nallasivam, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 8647.
- [13] These are the optimized conditions in both Antilla's seminal report (ref. 3) and our initial report on asymmetric allylboration/RCM relay catalysis process (ref. 5a).
- [14] J. S. Ryu, T. J. Marks, F. E. McDonald, J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1038.
- [15] F. Giacomina, A. Alexakis, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 6710.
- [16] See, for instance, with Zn: a) G. W. Breton, J. H. Shugart, C. A. Hughey, B. P. Conrad, S. M. Perala, *Molecules* 2001, 6, 655. With In.; b) F. A. Khan, B. Prabhudas, *Tetrahedron* 2000, *56*, 7595.
- [17] H. Mitsunuma, S. Tanabe, H. Fuse, K. Ohkubo, M. Kanai, *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 3459.
- [18] For a seminal contribution, see: a) S. Hashiguchi, A. Fujii, J. Takehara, T. Ikariya, R. Noyori J Am, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1995**, *117*, 7562. For a recent application, see: b) S. R. Suravarapu, S. P. Parvathaneni, J. A. Bender, S. T. Roberts, M. J. Krische, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2020**, *26*, 7504.
- [19] a) Y. Liu, C. Mazet, J. Org. Chem. 2020, 85, 5638; b) T. Miura, J. Nakahashi, M. Murakami, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 6989.

Manuscript received: April 29, 2021 Revised manuscript received: May 26, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: May 27, 2021

3495